arXiv:math/0606796v2 [math.AG] 8 Oct 2006

HYPERSURFACE SINGULARITIES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC.

ORLANDO VILLAMAYOR U.

ABSTRACT. We present results on multiplicity theory. Differential operators on smooth schemes play a central role in the study of the multiplicity of an embedded hypersurface at a point. This follows from the fact that the multiplicity is defined by the Taylor development of the defining equation at such point. On the other hand, the multiplicity of a hypersurface at a point can be expressed in terms of general projections defined at suitable étale neighborhoods of such point: The restriction to a hypersurface embedded in a d-dimensional smooth scheme, of a general projection on a $d-1$ dimensional smooth scheme, induces a finite morphism on the hypersurface. And the multiplicity of the hypersurface at a point is also defined as the degree of this finite morphisms.

In this paper we relate both approaches. In fact we study invariants of embedded hypersurfaces, defined in terms of differential operators, which express properties of the ramification of the finite morphism. Of particular interest is the case of hypersurfaces over fields of positive characteristic.

A central result in multiplicity theory is a form of elimination of one variable in the description of highest multiplicity locus. This form of elimination, known over fields of characteristic zero, is achieved with the notion of Tschirnhausen polynomial introduced by Abhyankar.

In this paper we provide a characteristic free approach to this form of elimination, and present new invariants. Our alternative approach is based on projections on smooth $d-1$-dimensional schemes.

The properties of this new form of elimination remain weaker in positive characteristic, then it does in characteristic zero, when it comes to compatibility of elimination with permissible monoidal transformation; and this opens the way to new questions. We also discuss here the behavior of other well known invariants, attached to a singularity at a point (to the tangent cone), with this form of elimination.

CONTENTS

Part 1. Introduction. 2
1. Finite coverings: multiplicity and ramification. 6
2. On graded and relative differential structures 22
3. Differential structures on smooth schemes 25
4. Simple structures in smooth schemes and projections 28

Date: Oct. 2006.

Key words and phrases. Singularities. Differential operators.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification. 14E15.*

.

5. On differential invariants and projections. 34
6. Monoidal transformations of structures and examples. 41
References 45

Part 1. Introduction.

Let (S, M) denote a local ring, and fix a monic polynomial $f(Z)$, of degree b at $S[Z]$. This defines a finite ring extension $S \subset S[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle$, and hence a finite morphism

$$Spec(S[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle) \xrightarrow{\pi} Spec(S).$$

It seems clear that a lot of information of this finite morphism is encoded in the coefficients of the monic polynomial $f(Z)$. The first section of our paper is devoted to this point. It turns out that the higher differential operators in the variable Z are closely related to ramification theoretical methods.

The clarification of this point, initiated in [35], is the objective of Section 1; where we present the fundamental results on which our development will rely. The tools developed in this first section will open the way for explicit computation in the last section.

Briefly speaking, in this first section we produce a *universal* morphism π, on which the permutation group acts; and our invariants arise as the invariant subring by this finite group action.

Our study of hypersurfaces embedded in a smooth n-dimensional scheme, with be reduced to the study of finite coverings defined in terms of one variable Z, name $f(Z) \in S[Z]$ as above, where S is a local regular ring at a point of a smooth (n-1)-dimensional scheme, as we shall indicate below.

Let us recall some properties of higher order differential operators in the study of multiplicity theory, in order to motivate the development in the coming sections.

Let V be a smooth scheme over a field k, and let $J \subset \mathcal{O}_V$ be a non-zero sheaf of ideals. For example take J to be the sheaf of ideals defining a hypersurface. Define a function, say

$$ord_J : V \to \mathbb{Z},$$

where $ord_J(x)$ denotes the order of J_x at the local regular ring $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$. Let b denote the biggest value achieved by this function (the biggest order of J). The pair (J, b) is *the object of interest* in Log principalization of ideals. There is a closed set attached to this pair in V, namely the set of points where J has order b. So if J is a locally principal ideal (defining a hypersurface), the closed set is the set of points of multiplicity b at the hypersurface.

For any non-negative integer s the sheaf of k-linear differential operators of order s, say $Diff^s_k$, is coherent and locally free over V. There is a natural identification, say $Diff^0_k = \mathcal{O}_V$, and for each $s \geq 0$ there is a natural inclusions $Diff^s_k \subset Diff^{s+1}_k$.

If U is an affine open set in V, each $D \in Diff^s_k(U)$ is a differential operator: $D : \mathcal{O}_V(U) \to \mathcal{O}_V(U)$. We define an extension of a sheaf of ideals $J \subset \mathcal{O}_V$, say $Diff^s_k(J)$, so that over the affine open set U, $Diff_k^s(J)(U)$ is the extension of $J(U)$ defined by adding all elements $D(f)$, for all $D \in Diff_k^s(U)$ and $f \in J(U)$.

$Diff^0(J) = J$, and $Diff^s(J) \subset Diff^{s+1}(J)$ as sheaves of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_V$. Let $V(J) \subset V$ be the closed set defined by $J \in \mathcal{O}_V$. So

$$V(J) \supset V(Diff^1(J)) \supset \cdots \supset V(Diff^{s-1}(J)) \supset V(Diff^s(J)) \dots$$

It is simple to check that the order of the ideal at the local regular ring $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$ is $\geq s$ if and only if $x \in V(Diff^{s-1}(J))$.

The previous observations say that $ord_J : V \to \mathbb{Z}$ is an upper-semi-continuous function, and that the highest order of J (at points $x \in V$) is b, if $V(Diff^b(J)) = \emptyset$ and $V(Diff^{b-1}(J)) \neq \emptyset$. There is a notion of transformation of pairs (J, b), defined by monoidal transformations. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} V & V_1 \arrow[l, "\pi"'] \\ \cup & \cup \\ Y & \pi^{-1}(Y) = H \end{tikzcd}$$

denote the blow up of V at a smooth sub-scheme Y, where H is the exceptional hypersurface. If $Y \subset V(Diff^{b-1}(J)))$ we say that π is b-permissible. In such case we can set

$$J\mathcal{O}_{V_1} = I(H)^b J_1,$$

where $I(H)$ is the sheaf of functions vanishing along the exceptional hypersurface H.

If π is b-permissible, J_1 has at most order b at points of W_1 (i.e. $V(Diff^b(J_1)) = \emptyset$). If, in addition, J_1 has no point of order b, then we say that π defines a *b-simplification* of J.

If $V(Diff^{b-1}(J_1)) \neq \emptyset$, let $V_1 \xleftarrow{\pi_1} V_2$ denote the monoidal transformation with center $Y_1 \subset V(Diff^b(J_1))$. We say that π_1 is b-permissible, and set

$$J_1\mathcal{O}_{V_2} = I(H_1)^b J_2.$$

So again J_2 has at most points of order b. If it does, define a b-permissible transformation at some smooth center $Y_2 \subset V(Diff^{b-1}(J_2)))$.

For J and b as before, we define, by iteration, a b-permissible sequence

$$V \xleftarrow{\pi} V_1 \xleftarrow{\pi_1} V_2 \xleftarrow{\pi_2} \dots V_{n-1} \xleftarrow{\pi_n} V_n,$$

and a factorization $J_{n-1}\mathcal{O}_{V_n} = I(H_n)^b J_n$.

Let $H_i \subset V_n$ denote the strict transform of exceptional hypersurface $H_i \subset V_{i-1}$. Note that:

1) $\{H, H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}\}$ are the irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $V \leftarrow V_n$.

2) The total transform of J relates to J_n by an expression of the form

$$J\mathcal{O}_{V_n} = I(H)^{a_0} I(H_1)^{a_1} \cdots I(H_{n-1})^{a_0} J_n.$$

We say that this b-permissible sequence defines a *b-simplication* of $J \subset \mathcal{O}_V$ if $\cup H_i$ has normal crossings, and $V(Diff^{b-1}(J_n)) = \emptyset$ (i.e. J_n has order at most $b-1$ at V_n).

When k is a field of *characteristic zero*, and b is the highest order of a sheaf of ideals $J \subset \mathcal{O}_V$, Hironaka proves that there is a b-simplification. Furthermore, taking this as starting point, he indicates how to achieve resolution of singularities.

The key point for b-simplification, already used in Hironaka's proof, is a form of induction. In fact, Hironaka proves b-simplification by induction on the dimension of the ambient space V. To simplify matters, assume that J is locally principal, and let b denote the highest order of J along points in V, which is now smooth over a field of characteristic zero. Let

$$\{ord_J \geq b\}$$

denote the closed set $\{x \in V / ord_J(x) \geq b\}$ (or say $= b$).

Fix a closed point $x \in \{ord_J \geq b\}$, and a regular system of parameters $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$. For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, and

$$\Delta^\alpha = (\frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_n!}) \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial^{\alpha_1} x_1} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_n}}{\partial^{\alpha_n} x_n}.$$

If J_x is locally generated by $f \in \mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, then f has order b at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, and

$$(Diff^{b-1}(J))_x = \langle f, \Delta^\alpha(f)/0 \leq |\alpha| < b \rangle.$$

The key point is that the order of $(Diff^{b-1}(J))_x$ at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$ is one. This holds when k is a field of characteristic zero.

Recall that $V(Diff^{b-1}(< f >)) = \{ord_J \geq b\}$ locally at x. One way to check that $(Diff^{b-1}(J))_x$ has order one at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, is to check this at the completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x}$, say $R = k'[[x_1, .., x_n]]$. We may choose the system of parameters so that, for a suitable unit u:

$$u.f = f_1 = Z^b + a_1 Z^{b-1} + \dots + a_b \in S[Z]$$

$S = k[[x_1, .., x_{n-1}]]$, and $Z = x_n$. As k is a field of characteristic zero, $S[Z] = S[Z_1]$, where $Z_1 = Z + \frac{1}{b}a_1$, and we obtain a Tschirnhausen polynomial (Abhyankar-Moh):

$$f_1 = Z_1^b + a'_2 Z_1^{b-2} + \dots + a'_b.$$

Then:

A) $Z_1 \in Diff^{b-1}(f)$ (in fact $\frac{\partial^{b-1} f}{\partial^{b-1} Z} \in Diff^{b-1}(f)$). In particular the ideal $Diff^{b-1}(f)$ has order one at x, and the closed set $\{ord_J \geq b\}$ is locally included in a smooth scheme of dimension $n-1$.

B)(*Elimination of one variable.*) $\{ord\ f \geq b\} (\subset V(Z_1))$ can be described as

$$\{ord\ f \geq b\} = \cap_{2 \leq i \leq b} \{ord\ a'_i \geq b - i\}.$$

C) (*Stability of elimination.*) Both A), and the description in B), are preserved by any b-permissible sequence of transformations.

We will not go into the details of A), B) and C). But let us point out the elimination of one variable in (B). In fact the closed set $\{ord\ f \geq b\}$ defined in terms of f, is also described as $\cap_{2 \leq i \leq b} \{ord\ a'_i \geq b - i\}$, where now the a'_i involve one variable less.

As indicated above, A),B), and C), together, conform the essential reason and argument in resolution of singularities in characteristic zero (see also [20]). They rely entirely on the hypothesis of characteristic zero. For instance A) does not hold over fields of positive characteristic; so there is no way to formulate this form of induction over arbitrary fields.

The objective of these notes is to report on a different approach to elimination (B), which can be formulated over arbitrary fields which we discuss below (see also [36]).

Suppose, for simplicity, that V is affine, that f is global in $\mathcal{O}_V$, and that b is the highest order of $J = \langle f \rangle$. We will assign to J and b (i.e. to (J, b)), a graded subring of $\mathcal{O}_V[W]$. In this case we consider the subring $\mathcal{O}_V[fW^b](\subset \mathcal{O}_V[W])$. Namely, the $\mathcal{O}_V$-subalgebra spanned by fW^b.

In general, if V is affine, we define a *Rees algebra* as a subring of $\mathcal{O}_V[W]$ generated over $\mathcal{O}_V$ by a finite set, say $\{f_1W^{n_1}, f_2W^{n_2}, \dots, f_sW^{n_s}\}$. These subrings can also be expressed as $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I_kW^k$, $I_0 = \mathcal{O}_V$, and each I_k is an ideal.

To any Rees algebra, say $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I_kW^k$, we attach a closed set, say $Sing(\mathcal{G})$, which consist of the set of points $x \in V$ such that for all positive integer n, the order of I_n at the local regular ring $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$ is at least n. One can easily check that in the case $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_V[fW^b]$ (as above), then $Sing(\mathcal{G})$ is the set of points of multiplicity b of the hypersurface defined by f.

We say that $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I_kW^k$ has *differential structure*, if $D(I_N) \subset I_{N-r}$ for $0 \leq r \leq N$, and $D \in Diff_k^r$.

There is a natural extension of a Rees algebras $\mathcal{G}$, to a differential algebra, say $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I_kW^k \subset \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I'_kW^k = \mathcal{G}'$. We say that $\mathcal{G}'$ is the differential structure spanned by $\mathcal{G}$. It has the property that $Sing(\mathcal{G}) = Sing(\mathcal{G}')$. In particular, if $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_V[fW^b]$, then it has a natural extension to a differential structure $\mathcal{G}'$, and $Sing(\mathcal{G}')$ is the set of points of multiplicity b in the hypersurface defined by f.

Our form of elimination of one variable is defined in the context of differential structures. Let $\mathcal{G}$ is a differential structure on a smooth scheme V of dimension d, and fix $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$.

We consider here smooth morphisms $\pi : V \to V^{(1)}$, defined at an étale neighborhood of $x \in V$, where $V^{(1)}$ is smooth of dimension $d-1$.

Given a differential structure $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{O}_V$ (over V) and a smooth morphism $\pi : V \to V^{(1)}$, we define a differential structure, say $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$, over the smooth $d-1$-dimensional scheme $V^{(1)}$. So $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined only in terms of $\pi : V \to V^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{O}_V$. It has the property that $Sing(\mathcal{G})(\subset V)$ can be identified with $Sing(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})$ in $V^{(1)}$ via π. Here $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is called the elimination algebra.

In the particular case in which $\mathcal{G}$ is the differential algebra spanned by $\mathcal{O}_V[fW^b]$, then $Sing(\mathcal{G})$ is the set of points of multiplicity b in the hypersurface defined by f, which can be identified with $Sing(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}))$, defined now in a $d-1$-dimensional scheme. This is our approach to (B).

As for the notion of *stability of elimination* (see (C) above), results remain stronger over fields of characteristic zero, where they provide an alternative approach to induction in desingularization theorems. This point is still to be understood over arbitrary fields, some suggestive properties are discussed in the last Section 6.

In the case hypersurfaces over fields of positive characteristic this form of *elimination* opens the way to new invariants that grow from the development, and treated in Sections 5 and 6.

Differential structures are treated in full detail in the work of Hironaka: [22],[23],[24], in relation to the theory of infinitely closed points. They appear in Wlodarczyk's work [37], and play a central role in Kollár's presentation in ([26]), particularly with his notion of *tuned ideals*. They are also studied in Kawanoue's paper [25].

I profited from discussions with Ana Bravo, Vincent Cossart, Marco Farinati, and Monique Lejeune.

1. Finite coverings: multiplicity and ramification.

1.1. Let (S, M) denote a local ring, and fix a monic polynomial $f(Z)$, of degree b at $S[Z]$. This defines a finite ring extension $S \subset S[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle$, and hence a finite morphism

$$Spec(S[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle) \xrightarrow{\pi} Spec(S)$$

This finite morphism is said to be purely ramified at a prime $P \in Spec(S)$, when the geometric fiber at P has a unique point.

Equivalently, set $\overline{k(P)}$ an algebraically closed field extension of the residue field $k(P)$, then the morphism is purely ramified at P if and only if the class of $f(Z)$ in $\overline{k(P)}[Z]$ has a unique root.

We begin by describing the set of prime ideals in $Spec(S)$ for which the finite extension is purely ramified.

Our arguments, for this and further properties of this finite morphism, focus on two observations. Since the finite morphism is determined by the monic polynomial $f(Z) \in S[Z]$, many properties of the morphism should be encoded in the coefficients. On the other hand, changes of variables of the form $Z_1 = Z - s$, $s \in S$ do not affect the finite extension $S \subset S[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle$.

Definition 1.2. Consider the homomorphism of S algebras, say $Tay : S[Z] \to S[Z, T]$, defined by setting $Tay(Z) = Z + T$. For each $F(Z) \in S[Z]$,

$$Tay(F(Z)) = \sum_{k\geq 0} g_k(Z)T^k.$$

This defines, for each index $k \geq 0$, an operators $\Delta^k : S[Z] \to S[Z]$, by setting $\Delta^k(F(Z) = g_k(Z)$, or say

$$Tay(F(Z)) = \sum \Delta^k(F(Z))T^k.$$

The Δ^k are known as differential operators of degree k. These are S-linear operators; $\Delta^n(Z^n) = 1$ and $\Delta^k(Z^n) = 0$ for $k > n$.

Note that the morphism Tay, and the operators Δ^k, are compatible with change of the base ring S, and also with changes of variable, in $S[Z]$, of the form $Z_1 = Z - s$, $s \in S$.

Lemma 1.3. *Fix $f(Z) \in K[Z]$ monic of degree b, where K is an algebraically closed field. The following are equivalent:*

1) $f(Z) = (Z - \alpha)^b$ for some $\alpha \in S$.

2) The class of $\Delta^k(f(Z))$ in $K[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle$ is nilpotent for all integer $0 \leq k < b$.

Proof. That 1) implies 2) is clear.

Let $f(Z) = (Z - \alpha_1)^{\beta_1} \cdot (Z - \alpha_2)^{\beta_2} \cdots (Z - \alpha_r)^{\beta_r}$ be the expression of the monic polynomial in terms of its r different roots; so $\sum \beta_i = b$. We prove that 2) implies 1) by showing that the class of the ideal $\langle \Delta^k(f(Z)), 0 \leq k \leq b-1\rangle \subset K[Z]$ is nilpotent in $K[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle$ only when $r = 1$. Assume that $r \geq 2$, and set $G(Z) = (Z-\alpha_2)^{\beta_2} \cdots (Z-\alpha_r)^{\beta_r}$, so $f(Z) = (Z-\alpha_1)^{\beta_1} \cdot G(Z)$, and $\beta_1 < b$.

So

$$Tay(f(Z)) = Tay((Z-\alpha_1)^{\beta_1}) \cdot Tay(G(Z)) \in K[Z,T],$$

and $Tay((Z-\alpha_1)^{\beta_1})$ is a monic polynomial of degree β_1 in T.

On the other hand, at $(K[Z]/\langle Z-\alpha_1\rangle)[T]$ $(= K[T])$,

$$Tay((Z-\alpha_1)^{\beta_1}) = T^{\beta_1}$$

and

$$Tay(G(Z)) = G(\alpha_1) + \text{terms of degree } \geq 1 \text{ in } T,$$

where $G(\alpha_1)$ (the class of $G(Z)$ in $K[Z]/\langle Z-\alpha_1\rangle$) is non-zero. This shows that $\Delta^{\beta_1}(f(Z)) \notin \langle Z-\alpha_1\rangle$, and hence the class of the element $\Delta^{\beta_1}(F(Z))$ in the ring $K[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle$ is not nilpotent.

□

1.4. Our proof shows that either $f(Z) = (Z-\alpha)^b$ for some $\alpha \in K$, or the ideals $\langle f(Z)\rangle$ and $\langle \Delta^\alpha(f(Z)), 1 \leq \alpha \leq b-1\rangle$ are coprime in $K[Z]$.

This seems to link with a question raised by Eduardo Casas: if either $f(Z) = (Z-\alpha)^b$ for some $\alpha \in K$, or $f(Z)$ and $\Delta^\alpha(f(Z))$ are coprime in $K[Z]$ for some $1 \leq \alpha \leq b-1$.

1.5. On the general strategy. If we fix a field k and consider S in the class of k-algebras, then there is a universal monic polynomial of degree b within this class.

Let $k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b]$ be a polynomial ring over a field k. We will denote by R_b the ring of symmetric polynomials in b variables with coefficients in k. Here $s_{b,1}, \ldots, s_{b,b}$ will denote the symmetric polynomials in b variables, where each $s(b,i)$ is homogeneous of degree i in $k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b]$. Therefore $R_b = k[s_{b,1}, \ldots, s_{b,b}]$ is a weighted homogeneous subring in $k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b]$.

Set

$$F_b(Z) = (Z-Y_1) \cdot (Z-Y_2) \cdots (Z-Y_b) \in k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b][Z]$$

the generic polynomial of degree b. Recall that

$$F_b(Z) = Z^b - s_{b,1}Z^{b-1} + \cdots + (-1)^b s_{b,b} \in R_b[Z],$$

and note that any monic polynomial of degree b over a k algebra is obtained from $F_b(Z) \in R_b[Z]$ by base change.

Let S_b denotes the symmetric group acting on $k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b]$ in the usual manner, so that

$$R_b = k[s_{b,1}, \ldots, s_{b,b}] = k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b]^{S_b}.$$

We shall show, via Galois correspondence, that there are natural R_b-isomorphisms

$$R_b[Z]/\langle F_b(Z)\rangle = R_b[Y_j],$$

for any index j, where $R_b[Y_j]$ is a subring of $k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b]$ (1.6, 3)).

There are various properties of a finite extension $S \subset S[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle$, defined by a monic polynomial $f(Z) \in S[Z]$, which can be expressed in terms of the coefficients, and are independent of changes of the form $Z_1 = Z - s$, $s \in S$. Such is the case of the ramification locus of the induced finite morphism, described by the discriminant, which is invariant by those changes of Z.

Note that the action of S_b on $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]$ restricts to an action on the subring

$$k[Y_2 - Y_1, \dots, Y_b - Y_1] = k[Z_{i,j}]$$

where $Z_{i,j} = Y_i - Y_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq b$. In what follows we denote

$$\overline{R}_b = k[Y_2 - Y_1, \dots, Y_b - Y_1]^{S_b}, \tag{1.5.1}$$

the subring of invariants by this action. So

$$\overline{R}_b = R_b \cap k[Y_2 - Y_1, \dots, Y_b - Y_1](\subset k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]).$$

Elements of $\overline{R}_b$, are elements in R_b, that provide, for any monic polynomial $f(Z) \in S[Z]$ of degree b, equations on the coefficients which are independent of changes of the form $Z_1 = Z - s$, $s \in S$.

In the next sections we study generators of $\overline{R}_b$, and also its weighted structure as subring of the graded ring $k[Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_b]$. It is also a graded subring of R_b, which is mapped to S, for $f(Z) \in S[Z]$ as above. In particular, an homogenous element H of degree, say r, in $\overline{R}_b$, maps to an element, say h, in S. However in our development we want to recall the degree of H. To this end in 1.39) we will add a dummy variable W that will recall the weight, and we assign to H the element $h \cdot W^r$ in the ring $S[W]$. Furthermore, as $\overline{R}_b$ is a finitely generated algebra generated by homogeneous element in R_b, say $\overline{R}_b = k[H_1, \dots, H_s]$, where each H_i is homogeneous of degree r_i, then we will consider, by change of base ring, the S subalgebra in $S[W]$ generated by $\{h_1 \cdot W^{r_1}, \dots, h_s \cdot W^{r_2}\}$. $\overline{R}_b$ is just one example of a graded ring that will arise. The graded structure of our invariant rings will play a central role in the development.

Remark 1.6. 1) Note that
$\dim(k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b])=\dim(k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b])^{S_b}) = b - 1$.

2) Identify S_{b-1} with the subgroup of permutation in S_b fixing Y_1, so

$$(k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b])^{S_b} = ((k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b])^{S_{b-1}})^{S_b}.$$

3)

$$(k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b])^{S_{b-1}} \subset k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]^{S_{b-1}} = k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1].$$

Proof. We check that $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]^{S_{b-1}} = k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$. The inclusion

$$k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]^{S_{b-1}} \supset k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$$

is clear. Let $t_{b-1,1}, \dots, t_{b-1,b-1}$ denote the symmetric polynomials in $b-1$ variables $Y_2, \dots, Y_b$, and note that $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]^{S_{b-1}} = k[Y_1, t_{b-1,1}, \dots, t_{b-1,b-1}]$. For the other inclusion check that $s_{b,1} = Y_1 + t_{b-1,1}$; $s_{b,2} = Y_1 t_{b-1,1} + t_{b-1,2}$; $\dots s_{b,b-1} = Y_1 t_{b-1,b-2} + t_{b-1,b-1}$; and $s_{b,b} = Y_1 t_{b-1,b-1}$.

4) Note that

$$(k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \ldots, Y_1 - Y_b])^{S_{b-1}} = k[t_{b-1,1}(Y_1 - Y_j), \ldots, t_{b-1,b-1}(Y_1 - Y_j)],$$

where, as before, $t_{b-1,1}, \ldots, t_{b-1,b-1}$ denote the symmetric polynomials in $b-1$ variables, evaluated here at the elements $\{Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \ldots, Y_1 - Y_b\}$.

1.7. Consider the morphism $Tay : R_b[Z] = k[s_{b,1}, \ldots, s_{b,b}][Z] \to R_b[Z, T]$, and define operators $\Delta^{(\alpha)} : R_b[Z] \to R_b[Z]$, as usual, by setting

$$Tay(F_b(Z)) = F_b(Z+T) = \sum \Delta^{(\alpha)}(F_b(Z))T^\alpha.$$

Let $F^{(\alpha)}(Z)$ denote the element $\Delta^{(\alpha)}(F_b(Z))$.

In what follows recall the natural identification

$$k[s_{b,1}, \ldots, s_{b,b}][Y_1] = k[s_{b,1}, \ldots, s_{b,b}][Z]/\langle F_b(Z)\rangle,$$

and that the $\Delta^{(\alpha)}$ operators are, in a natural sense, compatible with change of base rings $R_b \to S$ within the class of algebras over the field k.

Remark 1.8. Since $F_b(Z) = (Z - Y_1)\cdot(Z - Y_2)\cdots(Z - Y_b) \in k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b][Z]$,

$$F_b(T+Z) = (T + (Z - Y_1))\cdot(T + (Z - Y_2))\cdots(T + (Z - Y_b)) \in k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b][Z, T].$$

The coefficients of this polynomial in the variable T, are the symmetric polynomials evaluated on the elements $Z - Y_j$, $1 \leq j \leq b$. This shows that

$$F_b^{(e)}(Z) = (-1)^{b-e} s_{b,b-e}(Z - Y_1, Z - Y_2, \ldots, Z - Y_b). \tag{1.8.1}$$

Here we extend the action of the permutation group S_b, acting on the variables Y_j, setting $\sigma(Z) = Z$. Note that

$$k[Z - Y_1, \ldots, Z - Y_b]^{S_b} = k[\{F_b^{(e)}(Z), e = 0, 1, \ldots, b-1\}],$$

and that each $F_b^{(e)}(Z)$ is an homogeneous polynomial.

Lemma 1.9. *Let the setting be as in 1.6, 4). Then*

$$k[t_{b-1,1}(Y_1 - Y_j), \ldots, t_{b-1,b-1}(Y_1 - Y_j)] = k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \ldots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)](\subset k[s_{b,1}, \ldots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]).$$

In fact:

$$F_b^{(e)}(Y_1) = (-1)^{b-e} t_{b-1,b-e}(Y_1 - Y_j) \qquad 1 \leq e \leq b-1.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of 1.8.1. Note also that the equality

$$s_{b,b-e}(Y_1 - Y_1, Y_1 - Y_2, \ldots, Y_1 - Y_b) = t_{b-1,b-e}(Y_1 - Y_2, \ldots, Y_1 - Y_b)$$

follows from the definition of symmetric polynomials. ☐

Corollary 1.10. *1)*

$$(k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b])^{S_{b-1}} = k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)](\subset k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]).$$

2)

$$\overline{R}_b = k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)] \cap k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}],$$

as subrings of $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$ *(1.5.1).*

1.11. Let $k[Y_2 - Y_1, \dots, Y_b - Y_1]$ be graded as subring of $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]$ with the usual grade. Since the finite group S_b preserves degrees, it follows that $\overline{R}_b$ and $k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)]$ are graded subrings of $k[Y_2 - Y_1, \dots, Y_b - Y_1]$. So we may assume that $\overline{R}_b = k[G_{b,1}, \dots, G_{b,r_b}]$, where the generators $G_{b,i}$ are homogeneous polynomials. Furthermore,

$$\overline{R}_b = k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)] \cap k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}] = k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)]^{S_b}.$$

So $\overline{R}_b \subset k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)]$ is a finite extension of graded rings. Therefore

$$\langle G_{b,1}, \dots, G_{b,r_b} \rangle \subset \langle F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1) \rangle$$

are graded ideals in $k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)]$, and

$$\sqrt{\langle G_{b,1}, \dots, G_{b,r_b} \rangle} = \langle F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1) \rangle$$

in the ring $k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)]$ (see 1.13). This, together with the inclusion

$$k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)] \subset k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$$

in (1.10), 1), show that

$$\sqrt{\langle G_{b,1}, \dots, G_{b,r_b} >} = \sqrt{< F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1) \rangle}$$

as ideals in $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$ (see 1.12).

Remark 1.12. Fix a ring A and two ideals I_1, I_2. If $I_1 \subset I_2$, then for any ring homomorphism $A \to B$, $I_1B \subset I_2B$. A similar property holds if $\sqrt{I_1} = \sqrt{I_2}$ in A. We set

$$R_b = k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}] = k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]^{S_b}$$

and the universal polynomial of degree b:

$$F_b(Z) = Z^b - s_{b,1}Z^{b-1} + \cdots + (-1)^b s_{b,b} \in R_b[Z].$$

Any monic polynomial of degree b, say $f(Z) = Z^b - a_1 Z^{b-1} + \cdots + (-1)^b a_b \in R[Z]$, over a k algebra R, is obtained from $F_b(Z) \in R_b[Z]$, by the k-algebra homomorphism $R_b \to R$ defined by mapping $s_{b,i}$ to a_i. In particular, there is a natural homomorphism

$$R_b[Z]/\langle F_b(Z) \rangle \to R[Z]/\langle f(Z) \rangle$$

defined by base change, and the result in 1.11 ensures that

$$\sqrt{\langle G_{b,1}(a_1, \dots, a_b), \dots, G_{b,r_b}(a_1, \dots, a_b) \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle \overline{f^{(1)}(Z)}, \dots, \overline{f^{(b-1)}(Z)} \rangle}$$

as ideals in $R[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle$.

Remark 1.13. Let $F_1 \subset F_2$ be an inclusion of finitely generated, and positively graded algebras over a field k. Let max_1 and max_2 denote the irrelevant maximal ideals, of F_1 and F_2 respectively. Note that if $F_1 \subset F_2$ is a finite extension, then $\sqrt{max_1 F_2} = max_2$.

Remark 1.14. Fix a finitely N-graded algebra, say $k[H_1, \dots, H_r]$, where each H_i is homogeneous of degree n_i, and a local regular k-algebra (S, M). We say that an homomorphism of k-algebras $\phi : k[H_1, \dots, H_r] \to S$ *preserves degrees*, if, for any homogeneous element $H \in k[H_1, \dots, H_r]$ of degree n :

$$\nu_S(\phi(H)) \geq n,$$

where ν_S denotes the order at the local regular ring.

This property holds if and only if $\nu_S(\phi(H_i)) \geq n_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. This follows from the fact that any homogeneous element H, of degree n, can be expressed as $H = G(H_1, \dots, H_r)$, where $G(Z_1, \dots, Z_r) \in k[Z_1, \dots, Z_r]$ is a weighted homogeneous of degree n, provided Z_i is considered with degree n_i.

1.15. Set $\overline{R}_b(= k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b])^{S_b}) = k[G_{b,1}, \dots, G_{b,r_b}]$; and assume that each generator $G_{b,i}$ is homogeneous of degree n_i, as polynomial in $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]$. So any homogeneous element $H \in \overline{R}_b$, of degree m, is also a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree m in the $G_{b,i}$'s, provided each $G_{b,i}$ is given weight n_i.

The same argument applies for the inclusion in the ring of symmetric polynomials

$$\overline{R}_b \subset k[s_1, \dots, s_b],$$

so H is also weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree m in the s_i's, provided each s_i is given weight i.

Let (S, M) denote a local regular ring. Recall that a morphism $\phi : \overline{R}_b \to S$ preserves degrees if, for any homogeneous element H, of degree m,

$$\nu_S(\phi(H)) \geq m.$$

As indicated in 1.14 this condition will hold for ϕ if and only if $\nu_S(\phi(G_{b,i})) \geq n_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq r_b$.

Consider a regular k-algebra R. A monic polynomial $f(Z) = Z^b + a_1 Z^{b-1} + \ldots + a_{b-1} Z + a_b \in R[Z]$ defines a hypersurface in the regular scheme $Spec(R[Z])$, and a finite morphism

$$Spec(R[Z]/ < f(Z) >) \xrightarrow{\pi} Spec(R).$$

Let Q be a point of this hypersurface. Set $P = \pi(Q)$, and $S = R_P$ (local regular ring).

We first claim that if Q is a point of multiplicity b at the hypersurface, then

$$\nu_S(G_{b,i}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_b)) \geq n_i,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r_b$.

It is well known that if Q is a b-fold point of this hypersurface, there is a suitable change of coordinate $Z_1 = Z + s$, $s \in S$, so that:

$$f(Z) = Z_1^b + c_1 Z_1^{b-1} + \ldots + c_{b-1} Z_1 + c_b \in S[Z] \text{ ,and } \nu_S(c_i) \geq i.$$

Recall that $k[s_{b,1},\dots,s_{b,b}]$ is a graded subalgebra of $k[Y_1,\dots,Y_b]$, and each $s_{b,i}$ is homogeneous of degree i. The morphism $k[s_{b,1},\dots,s_{b,b}]\to S$, defined by mapping $(-1)^i s_{b,i}$ to c_i, maps $G_{b,i}(s_{b,1},\dots,s_{b,b})$ to $G_{b,i}(c_1,\dots,c_b)$. This morphism $k[s_{b,1},\dots,s_{b,b}]\to S$ preserves degrees, so $\nu_S(G_{b,i}(c_1,\dots,c_b))\geq n_i$.

On the other hand $G_{b,i}(c_1,\dots,c_b)=G_{b,i}(a_1,\dots,a_b)$ since these functions are invariant by these changes of coordinates, hence $\nu_S(G_{b,i}(a_1,\dots,a_b))\geq n_i$. (1.4)

Theorem 1.16. *Let R be a k algebra, $f(Z)=Z^b+a_1Z^{b-1}+\dots+a_{b-1}Z+a_b\in R[Z]$, and set Spec$(R[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle)\xrightarrow{\pi}$ Spec(R).*

i) $V(\langle G_{b,1}(a_1,\dots,a_b),\dots,G_{b,r_b}(a_1,\dots,a_b)\rangle)$ is the set of points in Spec(R) where the finite morphism is purely ramified (1.1).

ii) If R is regular, and $Q\in V(<f(Z)>)$ is a point of multiplicity b of this hypersurface in Spec$(R[Z])$, then

$$\nu_S(G_{b,i}(a_1,\dots,a_b))\geq n_i$$

for $1\leq i\leq r_b$, where $S=R_P$, $P=\pi(Q)$.

Proof. i) Note that Spec $(S[Z]/\langle f\rangle)\to$ Spec(S) arises from Spec $(R_b[Z]/\langle F_b(Z)\rangle)\to$ Spec(R_b) by the change of base ring $\phi: R_b\to S$, where ϕ is a k algebra morphism, and $\phi((-1)^i s_{b,i})=a_i$. So, as indicated in 1.12, it suffices to prove the claim for the universal case.

In 1.11 we show that $\langle G_{b,1},\dots,G_{b,r_b}\rangle$ and $\langle F_b^{(1)}(Y_1),\dots,F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)\rangle$ have the same radical ideal, as ideals in $R_b[Z]/\langle F_b(Z)\rangle$ ($=k[s_{b,1},\dots,s_{b,b}][Y_1]$).

Fix a prime $P\subset k[s_{b,1},\dots,s_{b,b}]$ and set $\{Q_1,\dots,Q_s\}$ the primes in $R_b[Z]/\langle F_b(Z)\rangle$, over P. Let K be an algebraic closure of the residue field of $(R_b)_P$, and argue as in Lemma 1.3. If P contains the ideal $\langle G_{b,1},\dots,G_{b,r_b}\rangle$ in R_b, then any Q_i contains $\langle F_b^{(1)}(Y_1),\dots,F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)\rangle$ as ideals in $R_b[Z]/\langle F_b(Z)\rangle$, so Lemma 1.3 asserts that the fiber over P is purely ramified. Conversely, if P does not contain the ideal $\langle G_{b,1},\dots,G_{b,r_b}\rangle$ in R_b, then $\langle F_b^{(1)}(Y_1),\dots,F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)\rangle$ (in $R_b[Z]/\langle F_b(Z)\rangle$) is not contained in any Q_i. In this case Lemma 1.3 asserts that the morphism is not purely ramified at P.

ii) Proved in 1.15.

□

Appendix 1: On normality and graded structure of $\overline{R_b}$.

In the coming sections we will study invariants that arise from the graded structure of the ring $\overline{R_b}=k[Y_2-Y_1,\dots,Y_b-Y_1]^{S_b}$. All rings considered are subrings of $k[Y_1,\dots,Y_b]$; and a subring is weighted graded when it is generated by homogeneous elements in $k[Y_1,\dots,Y_b]$. In particular an element of a graded subring is homogeneous if and only if it is homogeneous in $k[Y_1,\dots,Y_b]$.

Recall that $\overline{R_b}$ is a weighted graded subring of $k[Y_1,\dots,Y_b]$ with the usual grade(1.11).

Remark 1.17. Since $\overline{R_b}$ is the subring of S_b invariants in $k[Y_2-Y_1,\dots,Y_b-Y_1]$,

$$\overline{R_b}=k[Y_2-Y_1,\dots,Y_b-Y_1]\cap R_b,$$

as subrings of $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]$. In particular $\overline{R_b}$ is an intersection of normal rings, so it is also normal.

We now introduce a subring of $\overline{R_b}$, with integral closure $\overline{R_b}$. This subring will be useful in our further discussion.

1.18. *The ring $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$ is a free module of rank b over $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}]$. Multiplication by an element $\Theta \in k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$ defines an endomorphism, say ϕ_Θ, with characteristic polynomial, say*

$$\psi_\Theta(V) = V^b + h_1 V^{b-1} + \dots + h_b \in k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][V].$$

Lemma 1.19. *We claim that, if $\Theta = a_0 + a_1 Y_1 + \dots a_{b-1} Y_1^{b-1}$,*

$$\psi_\Theta(V) = \prod_{1 \le j \le b} (V - (a_0 + a_1 Y_j + \dots a_{b-1} Y_j^{b-1})).$$

(i.e. the coefficients h_i are, up to sign, the symmetric polynomials evaluated at the elements $a_0 + a_1 Y_j + \dots a_{b-1} Y_j^{b-1}$).

Proof. The proof follows from the observations:
1) $\psi_\Theta(\Theta) = 0$.
2) There is an isomorphism of $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}]$ modules, say

$$\beta_j : k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1] \to k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_j] \qquad \beta_j(Y_1) = Y_j.$$

So the characteristic polynomial of Θ in $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$, is the same as that of $\beta_j(\Theta)$ in $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_j]$. ☐

Note that S_b is the the Galois group of the extension $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}] \subset k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]$. Any $\sigma \in S_b$, such that $\sigma(Y_1) = Y_j$, induces the morphism β_j when restricted to the subring $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$.

Corollary 1.20. *If $\Theta = a_0 + a_1 Y_1 + \dots a_{b-1} Y_1^{b-1}$ is weighted homogeneous in $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$ (i.e. Θ is homogeneous as element of $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]$), then the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial $\psi_\Theta(V)$ are weighted homogeneous in $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}]$ (i.e. are homogeneous in $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]$).*

In fact, the action of S_b preserves degrees in $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]$, and each β_j is a restriction of an element in S_b.

Let $F_b^{(e)}(Y_1)$ denote the class of $\Delta^e(F_b)$ (1.7).

Definition 1.21. Let H_{F_b} be the k subalgebra of $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}]$ generated by the coefficients of the $b-1$ characteristic polynomials

$$\psi_{F_b^{(e)}(Y_1)}(V), \quad 1 \le e \le b-1.$$

Lemma 1.22. *H_{F_b} is included in $k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b]$, and it is a graded subalgebra of $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}]$.*

Proof. Recall that $F_b^{(e)}(Y_1) = (-1)^{b-e}t_{b-1,b-e}(Y_1 - Y_j)$ (1.9), and note that $t_{b-1,b-e}(Y_1 - Y_j)$ is homogeneous in $k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b]$. The coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of $F_b^{(e)}(Y_1)$ are symmetric polynomials on

$$\{F_b^{(e)}(Y_j)/1 \leq j \leq b\}$$

so they are also homogeneous elements in $k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b]$. The second part of the claim follows from the corollary.

Proposition 1.23. *$\overline{R}_b$ (1.5.1) is the integral closure of the graded ring H_{F_b} in $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}]$.*

Proof. Note that $(k[Y_1-Y_2, Y_1-Y_3, \dots, Y_1-Y_b])^{S_b} = ((k[Y_1-Y_2, Y_1-Y_3, \dots, Y_1-Y_b])^{S_{b-1}})^{S_b}$, and recall that:

a)

$$(k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b])^{S_{b-1}} = k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)](\subset k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]);$$

b)

$$(k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b])^{S_b} = k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)] \cap k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}],$$

as subrings of $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}][Y_1]$ (1.10).

Lemma 1.22 shows that the coefficients of $\psi_{F_b^{(e)}(Y_1)}(V)$ are in the ring

$$k[Y_1 - Y_2, Y_1 - Y_3, \dots, Y_1 - Y_b] \cap k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}](= \overline{R}_b).$$

Finally use b) to show that $H_{F_b} \subset k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)]$ and note that this is a finite ring extension. In fact, the elements $F_b^{(e)}(Y_1)$ are integral over H_{F_b} since they satisfy the characteristic polynomial.

The claim follows now from:
i) $H_{F_b} \subset k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)] \cap k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}]$,
ii) $k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)]$ is a finite extension of H_{F_b}, and
iii) $k[F_b^{(1)}(Y_1), \dots, F_b^{(b-1)}(Y_1)] \cap k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}] = \overline{R}_b$ is normal in $k[s_{b,1}, \dots, s_{b,b}]$(1.17). ☐

On multi-graded structures.

1.24. Fix r positive integers $c_1, \dots, c_r$, and set $b = \sum_i c_i$. For each index i define

$$F_{c_i} = (Z - Y_1^{(i)}) \cdot (Z - Y_2^{(i)}) \cdots (Z - Y_{c_i}^{(i)}) \in k[Y_1^{(i)}, \dots, Y_{c_i}^{(i)}][Z].$$

The product of these polynomials is a polynomial of degree b in Z, say:

$$F_{c_1}(Z) \cdot F_{c_2}(Z) \cdots F_{c_r}(Z) = F_b(Z),$$

as polynomial in $k[Y_1^{(1)}, \dots, Y_{c_1}^{(1)}, Y_1^{(2)}, \dots, Y_{c_2}^{(2)}, \dots, Y_1^{(r)}, \dots, Y_{c_r}^{(r)}][Z]$, which we naturally identify with $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b][Z]$ as $c_1 + \cdots + c_r = b$.

In other words, we identify $k[Y_1^{(1)}, \dots, Y_{c_1}^{(1)}, Y_1^{(2)}, \dots, Y_{c_2}^{(2)}, \dots, Y_1^{(r)}, \dots, Y_{c_r}^{(r)}]$ with $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b]$. The permutation group S_{c_i} acts on $k[Y_1^{(i)}, \dots, Y_{c_i}^{(i)}]$, and there is an inclusion $S_{c_1} \times S_{c_2} \times \cdots \times S_{c_r}$ in S_b. The main arguments rely on two simple observations. First, that the permutation groups S_{c_i} and S_b act linearly on $k[Y_1^{(i)},\dots,Y_{c_i}^{(i)}]$ and $k[Y_1,\dots,Y_b]$ respectively, which asserts that the invariant subrings are graded rings. Second, that the inclusion of finite groups $S_{c_1}\times S_{c_2}\times\cdots\times S_{c_r}$ in S_b provides a finite extension of invariant subrings.

1.25. The permutation group S_{c_i} acts on $k[Y_1^{(i)},\dots,Y_{c_i}^{(i)}]$, and the inclusion $S_{c_1}\times S_{c_2}\times\cdots\times S_{c_r}$ in S_b is such that:

$$(k[Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,Y_1^{(r)},\dots,Y_{c_r}^{(r)}])^{S_{c_1}\times S_{c_2}\times\cdots\times S_{c_r}} =$$
$$= \otimes_i k[Y_1^{(i)},\dots,Y_{c_i}^{(i)}]^{S_{c_i}}.$$

The finite group $S_{c_1}\times S_{c_2}\times\cdots\times S_{c_r}$ also acts on the graded subalgebra

$$A_{c_1,\dots,c_r} = k[Y_2^{(1)}-Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_{c_1}^{(1)}-Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_1^{(r)}-Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_{c_r}^{(r)}-Y_1^{(1)}] \tag{1.25.1}$$

in a way that preserves the usual degrees. Therefore, the ring of invariants, say

$$\overline{R}_{c_1,\dots,c_r} = A_{c_1,\dots,c_r}^{S_{c_1}\times S_{c_2}\times\cdots\times S_{c_r}} \tag{1.25.2}$$

is a finitely generated k algebra, and a graded subring of $k[Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,Y_1^{(r)},\dots,Y_{c_r}^{(r)}]$. Set

$$k[Y_1^{(i)},\dots,Y_{c_i}^{(i)}]^{S_{c_i}} = k[s_1^{(i)},\dots,s_{c_i}^{(i)}].$$

The graded rings $k[s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}]$ and $A_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$ are both polynomial rings, and graded subrings of $k[Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,Y_1^{(r)},\dots,Y_{c_r}^{(r)}]$. In particular both are normal, and so is

$$\overline{R}_{c_1,\dots,c_r} = A_{c_1,\dots,c_r}\cap k[s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}].$$

Since $\overline{R}_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$ is graded, it is generated by weighted homogeneous polynomials, say

$$G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r} = G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}(s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}), \quad 1\leq l\leq n_{c_1,\dots,c_r}, \tag{1.25.3}$$

for some positive integer $n_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$.

Theorem 1.26. *Let R be a k-algebra, and $Spec(R[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle)\xrightarrow{\pi} Spec(R)$ be the natural finite morphism, where*

$$f(Z) = f_1(Z)\cdot f_2(Z)\cdots f_r(Z)$$
$$f_i(Z) = Z^c + a_1^{(i)}Z^{c-1}+\ldots+a_{c_i-1}^{(i)}Z + a_{c_i}^{(i)} \in R[Z],$$

and $c_1+c_2+\cdots+c_r=b$.

i)

$$V(\langle G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}(a_1^{(1)},\dots,a_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,a_1^{(r)},\dots,a_{c_r}^{(r)})/1\leq l\leq n_{c_1,\dots,c_r}\rangle)$$

is the set of points in $Spec(R)$ where the finite morphism is purely ramified.

ii) If R is regular, and $Q\in V(\langle f(Z)\rangle)$ is a point of multiplicity b of this hypersurface, then

$$\nu_S(G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}(a_1^{(1)},\dots,a_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,a_1^{(r)},\dots,a_{c_r}^{(r)}))\geq deg\ G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$$

for $1\leq l\leq n_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$, where $S=R_P$, $P=\pi(Q)$.

Proof. i) Set $f(Z) = Z^b + h_1 Z^{b-1} + \ldots + h_{b-1}Z + h_b \in R[Z]$. According to Theorem 1.16, the purely ramified locus is the closed set in $Spec(R)$ defined by the ideal spanned by all $G_i(h_1, \ldots, h_b)$, where the G_i are homogeneous generators of the subring of S_b invariants in $A_{c_1,\ldots,c_r}$ in (1.25.1).

Here the elements $G^l_{c_1,\ldots,c_r}$ span de subring of $S_{c_1} \times S_{c_2} \times \cdots \times S_{c_r}$-invariants (1.25.3). And the inclusion $S_{c_1} \times S_{c_2} \times \cdots \times S_{c_r} \subset S_b$ defines a finite extension of invariant rings, and both are generated by homogeneous elements, say

$$k[\{G_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n_b}] \subset k[\{G^l_{c_1,\ldots,c_r}\}_{1\leq l\leq n_{c_1,\ldots,c_r}}].$$

So the ideal in $k[\{G^l_{c_1,\ldots,c_r}\}_{1\leq l\leq n_{c_1,\ldots,c_r}}]$ spanned by all elements G_i, is included, and has the same radical, as that spanned by the $G^l_{c_1,\ldots,c_r}$'s (1.13). As indicated in 1.12 this property is preserved by arbitrary homomorphisms of k-algebras. This proves (i).

ii) Follows by the same argument used in Theorem 1.16 ii).

□

1.27. We have defined $F_b(Z) = (Z - Y_1) \cdot (Z - Y_2) \cdots (Z - Y_b) \in k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b][Z]$. In 1.8 we show that if we set

$$Tay(F(Z)) = F_b(T+Z) = (T+(Z-Y_1))\cdot(T+(Z-Y_2))\cdots(T+(Z-Y_b)) \in k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b][Z, T],$$

the coefficients of this polynomial in the variable T, are the symmetric polynomials evaluated on the elements $Z - Y_j$, $1 \leq j \leq b$. Namely,

$$F_b^{(e)}(Z) = (-1)^{b-e} s_{b,b-e}(Z - Y_1, Z - Y_2, \ldots, Z - Y_b),$$

where $F_b^{(e)}(Z)$ denotes $\Delta^{(e)}(F(Z))$(see 1.8.1), and

$$k[Z - Y_1, \ldots, Z - Y_b]^{S_b} = k[\{F_b^{(e)}(Z), e = 0, 1, \ldots, b - 1\}].$$

Fix, as in 1.24, positive integers $c_1, \ldots, c_r$ so that $c_1 + \cdots + c_r = b$, and set, for each index i, $F_{c_i}(Z) = \prod_{1\leq j\leq c_i}(Z - Y_j^{(i)}) \in k[Y_1^{(i)}, \ldots, Y_{c_i}^{(i)}][Z]$. The product of these polynomials is a polynomial of degree b in Z, say:

$$F_{c_1}(Z) \cdot F_{c_2}(Z) \cdots F_{c_r}(Z) = F_b(Z),$$

in $k[Y_1^{(1)}, \ldots, Y_{c_1}^{(1)}, Y_1^{(2)}, \ldots, Y_{c_2}^{(2)}, \ldots, Y_1^{(r)}, \ldots, Y_{c_r}^{(r)}][Z]$, which we have identified with $k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b][Z]$ as $c_1 + \cdots + c_r = b$. In fact we identify $k[Y_1^{(1)}, \ldots, Y_{c_1}^{(1)}, Y_1^{(2)}, \ldots, Y_{c_2}^{(2)}, \ldots, Y_1^{(r)}, \ldots, Y_{c_r}^{(r)}]$ with $k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b]$, and hence:

$$k[Z - Y_1, \ldots, Z - Y_b] = k[\{Z - Y_j^{(i)}\}1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq c_i]$$

Set, as before, $F_b^{(\alpha)}(Z) = \Delta^{(\alpha)}(F_b(Z))$ and $F_{c_i}^{(\alpha)}(Z) = \Delta^{(\alpha)}(F_{c_i}(Z))$(1.7).

Proposition 1.28. *1) For $0 \leq \alpha \leq b - 1$, and $0 \leq \beta \leq c_i - 1$, each $F_b^{(\alpha)}(Z)$ is homogeneous of degree $b - \alpha$, and each $F_{c_i}^{(\beta)}(Z)$ is homogeneous of degree $c_i - \beta$ in the graded ring $k[Y_1^{(1)}, \ldots, Y_{c_1}^{(1)}, Y_1^{(2)}, \ldots, Y_{c_2}^{(2)}, \ldots, Y_1^{(r)}, \ldots, Y_{c_r}^{(r)}][Z] = k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_b][Z]$.*

2) There is a natural finite inclusion of graded subrings of $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_b][Z]$ *defined by*

$$k[\{F_b^{(e)}(Z), e = 0, 1, \dots, b-1\}] \subset k[\{F_{c_i}^{(\beta)}(Z), 1 \leq i \leq r, 0 \leq \beta \leq c_i\}]$$

3) The two algebras in 2) are also graded subalgebras in $k[s_1^{(1)}, \dots, s_{c_1}^{(1)}, \dots, s_1^{(r)}, \dots, s_{c_r}^{(r)}][Z]$.

Proof. 1) follows from 1.8.1, and 2) from the fact that such rings are the invariants by the finite groups $S_{c_1} \times S_{c_2} \times \cdots \times S_{c_r} \subset S_b$; acting on $k[Z - Y_1, \dots, Z - Y_b] = k[\{Z - Y_j^{(i)}\}1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq c_i]$. ☐

Proposition 1.29. *The graded algebra* $\overline{R}_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$ *in 1.25.2 is a graded subring of* $k[\{F_{c_i}^{(\beta)}(Z), 1 \leq i \leq r, 0 \leq \beta \leq c_i\}]$.

Proof. Note that $A_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$, in 1.25.1 can be expressed as a subring of $k[\{Z - Y_j^{(i)}; 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq c_i\}]$ by setting

$$Y_j^{(i)} - Y_1^{(1)} = (Z - Y_1^{(1)}) - (Z - Y_j^{(i)}).$$

☐

Corollary 1.30. *Let* $H_N \in \overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ *be homogeneous of degree* N. *Then there is a polynomial in variables*

$$\{W_{c_1}, W_{c_1}^{(1)}, W_{c_1}^{(2)}, \dots, W_{c_1}^{(c_1-1)}, \dots, W_{c_r}, W_{c_r}^{(1)}, \dots, W_{c_r}^{(c_r-1)}\},$$

and coefficients in k, *say*

$$G \in k[W_{c_1}, W_{c_1}^{(1)}, W_{c_1}^{(2)}, \dots, W_{c_1}^{(c_1-1)}, \dots, W_{c_r}, W_{c_r}^{(1)}, \dots, W_{c_r}^{(c_r-1)}]$$

such that

$$G(F_{c_1}(Z), F_{c_1}^{(1)}(Z), F_{c_1}^{(2)}(Z), \dots, F_{c_1}^{(c_1-1)}(Z), \dots, F_{c_r}(Z), F_{c_r}^{(1)}(Z), F_{c_r}^{(2)}(Z), \dots, F_{c_r}^{(c_r-1)}(Z)) = H_N.$$

Furthermore, we may assume that G *is weighted homogeneous of degree* N *provided we assign weight* $c_i - j$ *to the variable* $W_{c_i}^{(j)}$.

On restriction of multi-graded structures.

1.31. We now extend Corollary 1.10 and Proposition 1.23 to this context.

The polynomial

$$F_{c_i}(Z) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq c_i} (Z - Y_j^{(i)}) \in k[Y_1^{(i)}, \dots, Y_{c_i}^{(i)}][Z]$$

has coefficients in $k[Y_1^{(i)}, \dots, Y_{c_i}^{(i)}]^{S_{c_i}} = k[s_1^{(i)}, \dots, s_c^{(i)}]$; in fact

$$F_{c_i}(Z) = Z^{c_i} + (-1)s_1^{(i)} Z^{c_i - 1} + \dots + (-1)^{c_i - 1} s_{c_i - 1}^{(i)} Z + (-1)^{c_i} s_{c_i}^{(i)}.$$

Set $i = 1$ and let $S_{c_1 - 1}$ denote the subgroup of S_{c_1}, consisting of those elements fixing $Y_1^{(1)}$. In such case

$$k[s_1^{(1)}, \dots, s_{c_1}^{(1)}][Y_1^{(1)}] = k[Y_1^{(1)}, \dots, Y_{c_1}^{(1)}]^{S_{c_1 - 1}}$$

can be identified with

$$k[s_1^{(1)}, \dots, s_c^{(1)}][Z]/\langle F_{c_1}(Z) \rangle.$$

Note that

$$k[s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}][Y_1^{(1)}] =$$
$$= (k[Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,Y_1^{(r)},\dots,Y_{c_r}^{(r)}])^{S_{c_1-1}\times S_{c_2}\cdots\times S_{c_r}}$$

can be identified with

$$k[s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}][Z]/\langle F_{c_1}(Z)\rangle.$$

The natural inclusion $A_{c_1,\dots,c_r} \subset k[Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,Y_1^{(r)},\dots,Y_{c_r}^{(r)}]$ (see (1.25.1)) shows that

$$A_{c_1,\dots,c_r}^{S_{c_1-1}\times S_{c_2}\cdots\times S_{c_r}} \subset k[s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}][Z]/\langle F_{c_1}(Z)\rangle.$$

Let $F^{(\alpha)}(Z)$ denote $\Delta^{(\alpha)}(F(Z))$ (as in 1.7).

Lemma 1.32. *The ring*

$$A_{c_1,\dots,c_r}^{S_{c_1-1}\times S_{c_2}\cdots\times S_{c_r}} = k[Y_2^{(1)}-Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_{c_1}^{(1)}-Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_1^{(r)}-Y_1^{(1)},\dots,Y_{c_r}^{(r)}-Y_1^{(1)}]^{S_{c_1-1}\times S_{c_2}\cdots\times S_{c_r}}$$

is a graded k-algebra and a subring of

$$k[s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}][Y_1^{(1)}] = k[s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}][Z]/\langle F_{c_1}(Z)\rangle,$$

generated by the class of the elements

$$\{F_{c_1}(Z),F_{c_1}(Z)^{(1)},F_{c_1}(Z)^{(2)},\dots,F_{c_1}(Z)^{(c_1-1)},\dots,F_{c_r}(Z),F_{c_r}(Z)^{(1)},F_{c_r}(Z)^{(2)},\dots,F_{c_r}(Z)^{(c_r-1)}\}$$

in this quotient ring. In other words,

$$A_{c_1,\dots,c_r}^{S_{c_1-1}\times S_{c_2}\cdots\times S_{c_r}} =$$
$$= k[F_{c_1}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_1}^{(1)}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_1}^{(2)}(Y_1^{(1)}),\dots,F_{c_1}^{(c_1-1)}(Y_1^{(1)}),\dots,F_{c_r}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_r}^{(1)}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_r}^{(2)}(Y_1^{(1)}),\dots,F_{c_r}^{(c_r-1)}(Y_1^{(1)})].$$

Corollary 1.33. *1)*

$$\overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r} \subset$$
$$\subset k[F_{c_1}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_1}^{(1)}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_1}^{(2)}(Y_1^{(1)}),\dots,F_{c_1}^{(c_1-1)}(Y_1^{(1)}),\dots,F_{c_r}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_r}^{(1)}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_r}^{(2)}(Y_1^{(1)}),\dots,F_{c_r}^{(c_r-1)}(Y_1^{(1)})]$$

is a finite extension of graded rings.

2)Let $H_N \in \overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ be homogeneous of degree N. Then there is a polynomial in variables

$$\{W_{c_1},W_{c_1}^{(1)},W_{c_1}^{(2)},\dots,W_{c_1}^{(c_1-1)},\dots,W_{c_r},W_{c_r}^{(1)},\dots,W_{c_r}^{(c_r-1)}\},$$

say

$$G \in k[W_{c_1},W_{c_1}^{(1)},W_{c_1}^{(2)},\dots,W_{c_1}^{(c_1-1)},\dots,W_{c_r},W_{c_r}^{(1)},\dots,W_{c_r}^{(c_r-1)}]$$

such that

$$G(F_{c_1}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_1}^{(1)}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_1}^{(2)}(Y_1^{(1)}),\dots,F_{c_1}^{(c_1-1)}(Y_1^{(1)}),\dots,F_{c_r}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_r}^{(1)}(Y_1^{(1)}),F_{c_r}^{(2)}(Y_1^{(1)}),\dots,F_{c_r}^{(c_r-1)}(Y_1^{(1)})) = H_N.$$

Furthermore, we may assume that G is weighted homogeneous of degree N provided we assign weight $c_i - j$ to the variable $W_{c_i}^{(j)}$.

Proof. 1) Both rings are defined as subrings of invariants of the finite groups

$$S_{c_1-1} \times S_{c_2} \times \cdots \times S_{c_r} \subset S_{c_1} \times S_{c_2} \times \cdots \times S_{c_r}$$

acting linearly on $A_{c_1,\ldots,c_r}$ (see 1.25.2 and 1.32).

2) Same argument as in 1.30. It follows from 1) and the fact that each $F^{(j)}_{c_i}(Y_1)$ is homogeneous of degree $c_i - j$.

□

Remark 1.34. If, instead of $S_{c_1-1} \times S_{c_2} \cdots \times S_{c_r}$ we consider $S_{c_1} \times S_{c_2-1} \times S_{c_3} \cdots \times S_{c_r}$, the same argument shows that

$$A^{S_{c_1} \times S_{c_2-1} \times S_{c_3} \cdots \times S_{c_r}}_{c_1,\ldots,c_r}$$

is a graded k algebra and a subring of

$$k[s^{(1)}_1,\ldots,s^{(1)}_{c_1},\ldots s^{(r)}_1,\ldots,s^{(r)}_{c_r}][Y^{(2)}_1] = k[s^{(1)}_1,\ldots,s^{(1)}_{c_1},\ldots s^{(r)}_1,\ldots,s^{(r)}_{c_r}][Z]/\langle F_{c_2}(Z)\rangle,$$

generated by the class of the elements

$$\{F_{c_1}(Z), F_{c_1}(Z)^{(1)}, F_{c_1}(Z)^{(2)},\ldots,F_{c_1}(Z)^{(c_1-1)},\ldots,F_{c_r}(Z), F_{c_r}(Z)^{(1)}, F_{c_r}(Z)^{(2)},\ldots,F_{c_r}(Z)^{(c_r-1)}\}$$

in this quotient ring.

Appendix 2: On normality of multi-graded invariant ring.

1.35. The ring $k[s^{(1)}_1,\ldots,s^{(1)}_{c_1},\ldots,s^{(r)}_1,\ldots,s^{(r)}_{c_r}][Y^{(1)}_1]$ is a free module of rank b over $k[s^{(1)}_1,\ldots,s^{(1)}_{c_1},\ldots,s^{(r)}_1,\ldots,s^{(r)}_{c_r}]$. Multiplication by an element

$$\Theta \in k[s^{(1)}_1,\ldots,s^{(1)}_{c_1},\ldots,s^{(r)}_1,\ldots,s^{(r)}_{c_r}][Y^{(1)}_1]$$

defines an endomorphism, say ϕ_Θ, with characteristic polynomial, say

$$\psi_\Theta(V) = V^b + h_1 V^{b-1} + \cdots + h_b \in k[s^{(1)}_1,\ldots,s^{(1)}_{c_1},\ldots,s^{(r)}_1,\ldots,s^{(r)}_{c_r}][V].$$

Definition 1.36. Let $H_{F_{c_1},F_{c_2},\ldots,F_{c_r}}$ be the k subalgebra of $k[s^{(1)}_1,\ldots,s^{(1)}_{c_1},\ldots,s^{(r)}_1,\ldots,s^{(r)}_{c_r}]$ generated by the coefficients of the characteristic polynomials

$$\psi_{F^{(e)}_{c_i}(Y_1)}(V), \quad 1 \leq e \leq c_i - 1,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Lemma 1.37. *$H_{F_{c_1},F_{c_2},\ldots,F_{c_r}}$ is graded subalgebra both of $A_{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_r}$ (1.25.1), and of $k[s^{(1)}_1,\ldots,s^{(1)}_{c_1},\ldots,s^{(r)}_1,\ldots,s^{(r)}_{c_r}]$.*

(same proof as in 1.22).

Proposition 1.38. *$\overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_r}$ is the integral closure of the graded ring $H_{F_{c_1},F_{c_2},\ldots,F_{c_r}}$ in $k[s^{(1)}_1,\ldots,s^{(1)}_{c_1},\ldots,s^{(r)}_1,\ldots,s^{(r)}_{c_r}]$.*

Keeping track of the weighted structure (the variable W).

1.39. Let G be a graded ring, graded by non-negative integers. Add a variable W, say $G[W]$, and grade this new algebra with W of degree one. We define now what we call a *graded inclusion*, say $G \to G[W]$, which is also graded as follows: If $G = \sum_{k\geq 0}[G]_k$, define $\sum_{k\geq 0}[G]_k W^k$ as subalgebra in $G[W]$. Note that if $G = \sum_{k\geq 0}[G]_k \subset G' = \sum_{k\geq 0}[G']_k$ is a finite extension of positively graded algebras, then $G = \sum_{k\geq 0}[G]_k W^k \subset G' = \sum_{k\geq 0}[G']_k W^k$ is also finite.

If G is a k algebra generated by elements, say $\{H_1, \dots, H_s\}$, where each H_i is homogeneous of degree d_i; then the graded inclusion of G is the G-subalgebra in $G[W]$ generated, over G, by $\{H_1 W^{d_1}, \dots, H_s W^{d_1}\}$,

1.40. Set $T = k[s_1^{(1)}, \dots, s_{c_1}^{(1)}, \dots, s_1^{(r)}, \dots, s_{c_r}^{(r)}]$, we attach to the graded subalgebras $\overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ and $H_{F_{c_1},F_{c_2},\dots,F_{c_r}}$ two graded subalgebras included in a polynomial ring $T[W]$, as in 1.39.

Set $U^{(1)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ (set $U^{(2)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$) as the T subalgebra of $T[W]$ defined by the graded inclusion. In other words, the algebras generated over T by all elements of the form

$$H(s_1^{(1)}, \dots, s_{c_1}^{(1)}, \dots, s_1^{(r)}, \dots, s_{c_r}^{(r)}) \cdot W^{d_H},$$

where H is an homogeneous element of degree d_H in $\overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ (in $H_{F_{c_1},F_{c_2},\dots,F_{c_r}}$).

We can also express $U^{(1)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r} = \sum I_k^{(1)} W^k (\subset T[W])$, and $U^{(2)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r} = \sum I_k^{(2)} W^k (\subset T[W])$, where $I_k^{(1)}$ and $I_k^{(2)}$ are ideals in T.

Note that Lemma 1.37 ensures that $I_k^{(2)} \subset I_k^{(1)}$ for each positive index k. Namely, that

$$U^{(2)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r} \subset U^{(1)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}.$$

Furthermore, Prop 1.38 asserts that this extension is finite.

Note that both algebras $U^{(1)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ and $U^{(2)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ are finitely generated over T. This follows from the fact that the graded algebras $\overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ and $H_{F_{c_1},F_{c_2},\dots,F_{c_r}}$ are finitely generated.

For example $U^{(1)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ is the subring of $T[W]$ generated over T by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}(s_1^{(1)}, \dots, s_{c_1}^{(1)}, \dots, s_1^{(r)}, \dots, s_{c_r}^{(r)}) \cdot W^{deg\ G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq n_{c_1,\dots,c_r}\} \quad (\text{ see } 1.25.3).$$

Remark 1.41. $\overline{R}_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$ and $H_{F_{c_1},F_{c_2},\dots,F_{c_r}}$ are graded subalgebra both of $A_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ (1.25.1), and of $T = k[s_1^{(1)}, \dots, s_{c_1}^{(1)}, \dots, s_1^{(r)}, \dots, s_{c_r}^{(r)}]$, which in turn is a graded subring in

$$k[Y_1^{(1)}, \dots, Y_{c_1}^{(1)}, \dots, Y_1^{(r)}, \dots, Y_{c_r}^{(r)}].$$

The algebras $U^{(2)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r} \subset U^{(1)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ are defined in $T[W]$, where the variable W keeps track of the degree.

Definition 1.42. Fix a ring S and monic polynomials

$$f_i(Z) = Z^{c_i} - a_1^{(i)} + \dots + (-1)^{c_i} a_{c_i}^{(i)} \in S[Z], \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Set $F_{c_1}, F_{c_2}, \dots, F_{c_r}$ and $\overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ as before. So $F_{c_i}(Z) \in k[s_1^{(i)},\dots,s_{c_i}^{(i)}][Z]$, and each $f_i(Z)$ is obtained from $F_{c_i}(Z)$ by the change of base ring $\pi : k[s_1^{(i)},\dots,s_{c_i}^{(i)}] \to S$ defined by setting $\pi(s_j^{(i)}) = a_j^{(i)}$.

In this way the polynomials $f_i(Z) \in S[Z]$, $1 \le i \le r$ define a morphism of k-algebras:

$$T = k[s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}] \to S,$$

which extends to a morphism $T[W] \to S[W]$. We define the *elimination algebra*, say

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}(\subset S[W]) \tag{1.42.1}$$

as the subalgebra of $S[W]$ generated by the image of $U^{(1)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r} = \sum I_k^{(1)} W^k (\subset T[W])$. In the same way we define

$$\mathcal{H}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}(\subset S[W]) \tag{1.42.2}$$

as the subalgebra of $S[W]$ generated by the image of $U^{(2)}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r} = \sum I_k^{(2)} W^k (\subset T[W])$.

The previous observations show that

$$\mathcal{H}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}$$

and that this ring extension is finite.

Note also that both are finitely generated, for instance, the elimination algebra $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}$ is spanned over S by the finite set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}(s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}) \cdot W^{deg\ G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}}, \quad 1 \le l \le n_{c_1,\dots,c_r}\} \quad (1.25.3).$$

1.43. Set $T = k[s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}]$ as in above. Prop 1.28 asserts that there is a finite extension, say:

$$k[\{F_b^{(e)}(Z), e = 0,1,\dots,b-1\}] \subset k[\{F_{c_i}^{(\beta)}(Z), 1 \le i \le r, 0 \le \beta \le c_i\}]$$

of graded subalgebras of (the graded algebra) $T[Z] = k[s_1^{(1)},\dots,s_{c_1}^{(1)},\dots,s_1^{(r)},\dots,s_{c_r}^{(r)}][Z]$.

Therefore, there is graded inclusion of these algebras in $T[Z,W]$, namely:

(1.43.1)

$$T[Z][\{F_b^{(e)}(Z)W^{b-e}, e = 0,\dots,b-1\}] \subset T[Z][\{F_{c_i}^{(\beta)}(Z)W^{c_i-\beta}, 1 \le i \le r, 0 \le \beta \le c_i\}]$$

Consider, as in 1.42, a ring S and monic polynomials

$$f_{c_i}(Z) = Z^{c_i} - a_1^{(i)} + \cdots + (-1)^{c_i} a_{c_i}^{(i)} \in S[Z], \quad 1 \le i \le r.$$

Set $b = c_1 + \cdots + c_r$, and $f_b(Z) = f_{c_1}(Z) \cdot f_{c_2}(Z) \cdots f_{c_r}(Z)$, which is monic of degree b. Set $F_{c_1}, F_{c_2}, \dots, F_{c_r}$ and F_b as 1.24. So $F_{c_i}(Z) \in k[s_1^{(i)},\dots,s_{c_i}^{(i)}][Z]$, and each $f_i(Z)$ is obtained from $F_{c_i}(Z)$ by the change of base ring $\pi : k[s_1^{(i)},\dots,s_{c_i}^{(i)}] \to S$ defined by setting $\pi(s_j^{(i)}) = a_j^{(i)}$. This defines a morphism $T \to S$, and hence $T[Z] \to S[Z]$.

As the finiteness of the extension in 1.43.2 is preserved by change of base rings, it follows that there is a finite inclusion

$$S[Z][\{f_b^{(e)}(Z)W^{b-e}, e = 0,\dots,b-1\}] \subset S[Z][\{f_{c_i}^{(\beta)}(Z)W^{c_i-\beta}, 1 \le i \le r, 0 \le \beta \le c_i\}] \tag{1.43.2}$$

2. On graded and relative differential structures

2.1. Fix a noetherian ring B, and a sequence of ideals $\{I_k\}$, $k \geq 0$, which fulfill the conditions:

1) $I_0 = B$, and

2) $I_k \cdot I_s \subset I_{k+s}$.

This defines a graded subring $\bigoplus_{k\geq 1} I_k \cdot W^k$ of the polynomial ring $B[W]$. We say that $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is a *Rees algebra* if this subring is a (noetherian) finitely generated B-algebra.

Remark 2.2. 1) Examples of Rees algebras are the Rees rings of an ideal $I \subset B$, where $I_k = I^k$ for each $k \geq 1$. In general we will not assume that a Rees algebra is generated in degree one.

2) Whenever $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset (\subset B[W])$ is a Rees algebra, we may define a new Rees algebra $\bigoplus I'_k \cdot W^k$ by setting

$$I'_k = \sum_{r\geq k} I_r.$$

If $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is generated by $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i} W^{n_i}, 1 \leq i \leq m, n_i > 0\}$. Namely, if:

$$\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k = B[\{g_{n_i} W^{n_i}\}_{g_{n_i} W^{n_i} \in \mathcal{F}}],$$

then $\bigoplus I'_k \cdot W^k$ is generated by the finite set $\{g_{n_i} W^{n'_i}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq n'_i \leq n_i\}$,

Note that $I'_k \supset I'_{k+1}$, and that $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset \bigoplus I'_k \cdot W^k$ is a finite extension. In fact, it suffices to check that given an element $g \in I_k$, then $g \cdot W^{k-1}$ is integral over $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$. One can check that

$$g \in I_k \Rightarrow g^{k-1} \in I_{k(k-1)} \Rightarrow g^k \in I_{k(k-1)},$$

so $g \cdot W^{k-1}$ fulfills the equation $Z^k - (g^k \cdot W^{k(k-1)}) = 0$.

Up to integral closure we may assume that a Rees algebra has the additional condition:

$$I_k \supset I_{k+1}.$$

2.3. In what follows we define a Rees algebra, say $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I_n W^n$ in $B[W]$, by fixing a set of generators, say $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i} W^{n_i} / n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. So if $f \in I_n$, then

$$f = F_n(g_{n_1}, \dots, g_{n_m}),$$

where $F_n(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial in m variables, and each Y_j has weight n_j.

2.4. Let $B = S[Z]$ be a polynomial ring, and let $Tay : B \to B[U]$ be the S-algebra homomorphism defined by setting $Tay(Z) = Z + U$. For any $f(Z) \in B$ set

$$Tay(f(Z)) = \sum_{\alpha\geq 0} \Delta^\alpha(f(Z)) U^\alpha.$$

The operators Δ^α are S-differential operators (S linear). Furthermore, for any positive integer N, the set $\{\Delta^\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq N\}$ is a basis of the B-module of S-differential operators on B, of order $\leq N$.

Definition 2.5. Set $B = S[Z]$ as before, a polynomial ring over a noetherian ring S. A Rees algebra

$$\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset B[W]$$

is a differential structure, relative to S, when:

i) $I_k \supset I_{k+1}$ for any $k \geq 0$.

ii) For any $n > 0$ and $f \in I_n$, and for any index $0 \leq j \leq n$ and any S-differential operator of order $\leq j$, say D_j:

$$D_j(f) \in I_{n-j}.$$

Remark 2.6. Let $Diff_S^N(B)$ denote the module of S-differential operators of order at most N. Then

$$Diff_S^N(B) \subset Diff_S^{N+1}(B) \subset \dots$$

For this reason it is natural to require condition (i) in our previous definition. Note also that 2.4 asserts that (ii) can be reformulated as:

ii') For any $n > 0$ and $f \in I_n$, and for any index $0 \leq \alpha \leq n$:

$$\Delta^{\alpha}(f) \in I_{n-\alpha},$$

In fact, (i)+(ii) is equivalent to (i)+(ii'):

Theorem 2.7. *Fix $B = S[Z]$ as before, and a finite set $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i}W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, with the following properties:*

a) For any $1 \leq i \leq m$, and any n'_i, $0 < n'_i \leq n_i$:

$$g_{n_i}W^{n'_i} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

b) For any $1 \leq i \leq m$, and for any index $0 \leq \alpha < n_i$:

$$\Delta^{\alpha}(g_n)W^{n_i-\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then the B subalgebra of $B[W]$, generated by $\mathcal{F}$ over the ring B, has differential structure relative to S.

Proof. Condition (i) in Def 2.5 is by 2.2, 2).

Let $I_N W^N$ be the homogeneous component of degree N of the B subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{F}$. We prove that for any $h \in I_N$, and any $0 \leq \alpha \leq N$, $\Delta^{\alpha}(h) \in I_{N-\alpha}$.

The ideal $I_N \subset B$ is generated by all elements of the form

$$H_N = g_{n_{i_1}} \cdot g_{n_{i_2}} \cdots g_{n_{i_p}} \qquad n_{i_1} + n_{i_2} + \cdots n_{i_p} = N, \tag{2.7.1}$$

with the $g_{n_{i_i}}W^{n_{i_i}} \in \mathcal{F}$ not necessarily different.

Since the operators Δ^{α} are linear, it suffices to prove that $\Delta^{\alpha}(a \cdot H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$, for $a \in B$, H_N as in 2.7.1, and $0 \leq \alpha \leq N$. We proceed in two steps, by proving:

1) $\Delta^{\alpha}(H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$.

2) $\Delta^{\alpha}(a \cdot H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$.

We first prove 1). Set $Tay : B = S[Z] \to B[U]$, as in 2.4. Consider, for any element $g_{n_{i_l}} W^{n_{i_l}} \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$Tay(g_{n_{i_l}}) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \Delta^{\beta}(g_{n_{i_l}}) U^{\beta} \in B[U].$$

Hypothesis (b) states that for each index $0 \leq \beta < n_{i_l}$, $\Delta^{\beta}(g_{n_{i_l}}) W^{n_{i_l} - \beta} \in \mathcal{F}$.

On the one hand

$$Tay(H_N) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \Delta^{\alpha}(H_N) U^{\alpha},$$

and, on the other hand

$$Tay(H_N) = Tay(g_{n_{i_1}}) \cdot Tay(g_{n_{i_2}}) \cdots Tay(g_{n_{i_p}})$$

in $B[U]$. This shows that for a fixed α $(0 \leq \alpha \leq N)$, $\Delta^{\alpha}(H_N)$ is a sum of elements of the form:

$$\Delta^{\beta_1}(g_{n_{i_1}}) \cdot \Delta^{\beta_2}(g_{n_{i_2}}) \cdots \Delta^{\beta_p}(g_{n_{i_p}}), \qquad \sum_{1 \leq s \leq p} \beta_s = \alpha.$$

So it suffices to show that each of these summands is in $I_{N-\alpha}$.

Note here that

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq p} (n_{i_s} - \beta_s) = N - \alpha,$$

and that some of the integers $n_{i_s} - \beta_s$ might be zero or negative. Set

$$G = \{r, 1 \leq r \leq p, \text{ and } n_{i_r} - \beta_r > 0\}.$$

So

$$N - \alpha = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq p} (n_{i_s} - \beta_s) \leq \sum_{r \in G} (n_{i_r} - \beta_r) = M.$$

Hypothesis (b) ensures that $\Delta^{\beta_r}(g_{n_{i_r}}) \in I_{n_{i_r} - \beta_r}$ for every index $r \in G$, in particular:

$$\Delta^{\beta_1}(g_{n_{i_1}}) \cdot \Delta^{\beta_2}(g_{n_{i_2}}) \cdots \Delta^{\beta_p}(g_{n_{i_p}}) \in I_M.$$

Finally, since $M \geq N - \alpha$, $I_M \subset I_{N-\alpha}$, and this proves Case 1).

For Case 2), fix $0 \leq \alpha \leq N$. We claim that $\Delta^{\alpha}(a \cdot H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$, for $a \in B$ and H_N as in 2.7.1. At the ring $B[U]$,

$$Tay(a \cdot H_N) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \Delta^{\alpha}(a \cdot H_N) U^{\alpha},$$

and, on the other hand

$$Tay(a \cdot H_N) = Tay(a) \cdot Tay(H_N).$$

This shows that $\Delta^{\alpha}(a \cdot H_N)$ is a sum of terms of the form $\Delta^{\alpha_1}(a) \cdot \Delta^{\alpha_2}(H_N)$,$\alpha_i \geq 0$, and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha$. In particular $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha$; and by Case 1), $\Delta^{\alpha_2}(H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha_2}$. On the other hand $N - \alpha_2 \geq N - \alpha$, so $\Delta^{\alpha_2}(H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$, and hence $\Delta^{\alpha}(a \cdot H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$. □

Corollary 2.8. *The Rees algebra in $B[W]$, generated over B by*

$$\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i}W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\},$$

extends to a smallest differential structure relative to S, which is generated by the finite set

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{\Delta^{\alpha}(g_n)W^{n_i-\alpha}/g_{n_i}W^{n_i'} \in \mathcal{F},\ \textit{and}\ 0 \leq \alpha < n_i\}.$$

2.9. In what follows we will consider the case $B = S[Z]$, where S is a local regular ring. In particular both S and $S[Z]$ will be unique factorization domains.

We will consider graded subalgebras in $B[W]$ up to integral closure. Assume that $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset B[W]$ has differential structure relative to S. If, for some positive integer k there is a polynomial , say $f(Z) \in I_k$, which is monic of degree, say $a < k$, then $\Delta^{a-k}(f(Z) = 1$, so $W^{a-k} \in \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$, and the integral closure of this algebra is all $B[W]$.

Assume that for some positive integer b, there is a monic polynomial of degree b, say $f_b(Z) \in I_b$. In such case it is clear that $S[Z][\{f_b^{(e)}(Z)W^{b-e}, e = 0, \dots, b-1\}] \subset \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$.

If, in addition, there is a factorization of $f_b(Z)$, of the form: $f_b(Z) = f_{c_1}(Z) \cdot f_{c_2}(Z) \cdots f_{c_r}(Z)$, where each fact $f_{c_i}(Z)$ is a monic polynomial of degree c_i, then 1.43.2 asserts that

$$B[\{f_{c_i}^{(\beta)}(Z)W^{c_i-\beta}\}, 1 \leq i \leq r, 0 \leq \beta \leq c_i] \subset \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k.$$

In particular, each element $f_{c_i}(Z)W^{c_i}$ is integral over $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$.

3. DIFFERENTIAL STRUCTURES ON SMOOTH SCHEMES

3.1. A sequence of coherent ideals on a scheme Z, say $\{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that $I_0 = \mathcal{O}_Z$, and $I_k \cdot I_s \subset I_{k+s}$, defines a graded sheaf of algebras $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I_n \cdot W^n \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[W]$.

We say that this algebra is a Rees algebra, if there is an open covering of Z by affine open sets $\{U_i\}$, so that

$$\bigoplus_n I_n(U_i)W^n \subset \mathcal{O}_Z(U_i)[W]$$

is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_Z(U_i)$-algebra.

In what follows Z will denote a smooth scheme of a field k, and $Diff_k^r(Z)$, or simply $Diff_k^r$, the locally free sheaf of k-linear differential operators of order at most r.

Definition 3.2. We say that a Rees algebra defined by $\{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a differential structure relative to the field k, if:

i) $I_n \supset I_{n+1}$.

ii) There is open covering of Z by affine open sets $\{U_i\}$, and for any $D \in Diff^{(r)}(U_i)$, and any $h \in I_n(U_i)$, then $D(h) \in I_{n-r}(U_i)$, provided $n \geq r$.

Due to the local nature of the definition, we reformulate the definition in terms of smooth k-algebras.

Definition 3.3. In what follows R will denote a smooth algebra over a field, or a localization of such algebra on a closed point (a regular local ring). A Rees algebra is defined by a sequences of ideals $\{I_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that:

1) $I_0 = R$, and $I_k \cdot I_s \subset I_{k+s}$.
2) $\bigoplus I_k W^k$ is a finitely generated R-algebra.
We shall say that the Rees algebra has differential structure relative to k, if
3) $I_n \supset I_{n+1}$, and
4) given $D \in Diff_k^{(r)}(R)$, then $D(I_n) \subset I_{n-r}$.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is a Rees algebra over a smooth scheme Z. Then there is a natural and smallest extension of it to a differential structure relative to the field k.*

The Theorem says that a Rees algebra on a smooth scheme Z extends to a smallest differential structure (i.e. included in any other differential structure containing it). We refer here to Th 3.4 in [34] for the proof, which follows easily from the argument for the one-variable case (Th 2.7). Let us indicate that if we fix a closed point $x \in Z$, and a regular system of parameters $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$, then smoothness of Z locally at x asserts that there is a ring homomorphism, say:

$$Tay : \mathcal{O}_{Z,x} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[[T_1, \dots, T_n]], \qquad Tay(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z})^n} \Delta^\alpha(f) T^\alpha$$

where $Tay(x_i) = x_i + T_i$. Furthermore, $\{\Delta^\alpha, \alpha \in (\mathbb{N})^n, 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq c\}$ generate the $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$-module $Diff_k^c(\mathcal{O}_{Z,x})$.

The proof of the previous Theorem shows that, at a suitable affine neighborhood of x in Z, where say $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is generated by $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i} W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, then

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{\Delta^\alpha(g_n) W^{n_i' - \alpha} / g_{n_i} W^{n_i} \in \mathcal{F}, \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{N})^n, \text{ and } 0 \leq |\alpha| < n_i' \leq n_i\} \tag{3.4.1}$$

generates the smallest extension of $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ with differential structure relative to k.

Corollary 3.5. *Given inclusions of Rees algebras, say*

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_n W^n \subset \mathcal{G}' = \bigoplus I_n' W^n \subset \mathcal{G}'' = \bigoplus I_n'' W^n,$$

where $\mathcal{G}''$ is the differential structure spanned by $\mathcal{G}$, then $\mathcal{G}''$ is also the differential structure spanned by $\mathcal{G}'$.

Differential structures and singular locus.

3.6. The notion differential structure relative to a field k, on a smooth k-scheme Z, is closely related to the notion of *order* at the local regular rings of Z. Recall that the order of a non-zero ideal I at a local regular ring (R, M) is the biggest integer b such that $I \subset M^b$.

If $I \subset \mathcal{O}_Z$ is a sheaf of ideals, $V(Diff_k^{b-1}(I))$ is the closed set of points of Z where the ideal has order at least b. We analyze this fact locally at a closed point x.

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a regular system of parameters at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$, and consider the differential operators Δ^α, defined on $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$ in terms of these parameters, as in the Theorem 3.4. So at x,

$$(Diff_k^{b-1}(I))_x = \langle \Delta^\alpha(f) / f \in I, 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq b-1 \rangle.$$

One can now check at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$, or at the ring of formal power series $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x}$, that $Diff_k^{b-1}(I)$ is a proper ideal if and only if I has order at least b at the local ring.

The operators Δ^α are defined globally at a suitable neighborhood U of x. So if $\bigoplus I_n \cdot W^n \subset O_Z[W]$ is a differential structure relative to the field k and $x \in Z$ is a closed point, the differential structure $\bigoplus (I_n)_x \cdot W^n \subset O_{Z,x}[W]$ will be properly included in $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W]$, if and only, for each index $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the ideal $(I_k)_x$ has order at least k at the local regular ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$.

Definition 3.7. The *singular locus* of a Rees algebra $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_n \cdot W^n \subset O_Z[W]$, will be

$$Sing(\mathcal{G}) = \cap_{r \geq 0} V(Diff_k^{r-1}(I_r))(\subset Z).$$

It is the set of points $x \in Z$ for which all $(I_r)_x$ have order at least r (at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$).

Remark 3.8. Assume that $f \in (I_r)_x$ has order r at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$. Then, locally at x, $Sing(\mathcal{G})$ is included in the set of points of multiplicity r (or say, r-fold points) of the hypersurface $V(\langle f \rangle)$.

In fact $Diff_k^{r-1}(f) \subset Diff_k^{r-1}(I_r)$, and the closed set defined by the first ideal is that of points of multiplicity r.

Proposition 3.9. *1) If $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ are Rees algebras with the same integral closure (e.g. if $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ is a finite extension), then*

$$Sing(\mathcal{G}) = Sing(\mathcal{G}').$$

2) If $\mathcal{G}$ is a Rees algebra generated over $\mathcal{O}_Z$ by $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i} W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, then

$$Sing(\mathcal{G}) = \cap V(Diff^{n_i}(\langle g_i \rangle)).$$

3) Let $\mathcal{G}'' = \bigoplus I''_n \cdot W^n$ be the extension of $\mathcal{G}$ to a differential structure relative to k, as defined in Theorem 3.4, then $Sing(\mathcal{G}) = Sing(\mathcal{G}'')$.

4) For any differential structure $\mathcal{G}'' = \bigoplus I''_n \cdot W^n$, $Sing(\mathcal{G}'') = V(I''_1)$.

5) Let $\mathcal{G}'' = \bigoplus I''_n \cdot W^n$ be a differential structure. For any positive integer r, $Sing(\mathcal{G}'') = V(I''_r)$.

Proof. 1) This holds because the order of an ideal in a local regular ring, is the same as the order of the integral closure ([39] Appendix 3).

2) We have formulated 2) with a global condition on Z, however this is always the case locally. In fact, there is a covering of Z by affine open sets, so that the restriction of $\mathcal{G}$ is generated by finitely many elements. Let U be such open set, so $\mathcal{G}(U) = \bigoplus I_k(U) \cdot W^k$ is generated by $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i} W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, $g_{n_i} \in \mathcal{O}(U)$.

The claim is that $y \in Sing(\mathcal{G}) \cap U$ if and only if the order of g_{n_i} at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,y}$ is at least n_i, for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

The condition is clearly necessary. Conversely, if $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_n = \mathcal{O}_U[\{g_i W^{n_i}\}_{g_i W^{n_i} \in \mathcal{F}}]$, and each g_{n_i} has order at at least n_i at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,y}$, then I_n (generated by weighted homogeneous expressions on the g_i's) has order at least n at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,y}$.

3) We argue as in 2), here we may also assume that there is $x \in U$, a regular system of parameters $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ at x, and differential operators Δ^α as in the Theorem 3.4, defined globally at U.

The differential structure $\mathcal{G}''$ in the Theorem 3.4, is a finite extension of the Rees algebra defined by

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{\Delta^{\alpha}(g_n)W^{n_i-\alpha}/g_{n_i}W^{n_i} \in \mathcal{F}, \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{N})^n, \text{ and } 0 \leq |\alpha| < n_i\}.$$

Note finally that if the order of g_{n_i} at a local ring is $\geq n_i$, then the order of $\Delta^{\alpha}(g_n)$ is $\geq n_i - |\alpha|$.

4) The inclusion $Sing(\mathcal{G}'') \subset V(I''_1)$ holds, by definition, for any Rees algebra. On the other hand, the hypothesis ensures that $Diff^{r-1}(I''_r) \subset I''_1$, so $Sing(\mathcal{G}'') \supset V(I''_1)$.

5) Follows from 4). □

4. SIMPLE STRUCTURES IN SMOOTH SCHEMES AND PROJECTIONS

Fix a Rees algebra on a smooth scheme Z, say $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus \mathcal{I}_k \cdot W^k (\subset \mathcal{O}_Z[W])$, and a point $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$, let R be the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$, at a closed point $x \in Z$, and set

$$\mathcal{G}_x = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset R[W])$$

by localization.

The differential structure spanned by $\mathcal{G}$ induces, at $x \in Z$, the differential structure spanned by $\mathcal{G}_x$. And this structure is trivial (i.e. equal to $R[W]$), unless $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$.

Definition 4.1. A differential structure $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus \mathcal{I}_k \cdot W^k (\subset \mathcal{O}_Z[W])$, is said to be simple at a point $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$, if for some n, the order of I_n is n at the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z.x}$.

4.2. Here $R = \mathcal{O}_{Z.x}$ is a local regular ring, the graded algebra of the maximal ideal, say $gr_M(R)$ is a polynomial ring. We attach to $\mathcal{G}$ an homogeneous ideal in $gr_M(R)$ called the initial (or tangent) ideal of $\mathcal{G}_x$, which we define as the ideal spanned by $In_k(I_k)$, for all index k. We may view this ideal as defining a Rees algebra of homogeneous ideals.

The tangent ideal of a differential structure at a closed point $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$ is zero unless x is a simple point. Furthermore, if $gr_M(R) = k'[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ (polynomial ring in n variables), it is easy to check that this ideal is closed by homogeneous differential operators of the form Δ^{α}, for any multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, defined by taking Taylor developments in terms of the variables Z_i. In other words, if H is an homogeneous element of degree N in the tangent ideal, then $\Delta^{\alpha}(H)$ is homogeneous of degree $N - |\alpha|$ and belongs to the tangent ideal.

In this way we can think of the tangent ideal of a differential algebra as having a *graded* differential structure. Homogeneous ideals with this property have been largely studied (e.g. [29]). If k' is a field of characteristic zero, then the ideals with this property are exactly those generated by linear forms, which we may take to be $Z_1, .., Z_\tau$. If k' is a field of characteristic p, the ideals with this property are generated by elements of the form

$$l_1, \dots . l_{s_0}, l_{s_0+1}, \dots, l_{s_1}, \dots, \dots l_{s_{r-1}} \dots l_{s_r}, \tag{4.2.1}$$

where l_j is a linear combination of powers $Z_j^{p^t}$, for $s_t \leq j \leq s_{t+1}$; and no l_j is in the ideal spanned by the previous elements.

It is said that the initial ideal is spanned by a flag of Frobenius-linear ideals (in powers of the characteristic). There are two important invariants at the tangent cone at the point:

1) the integer s_r, usually called the invariant τ of the singularity, and

2) the smallest integer e_0 so that p^{e_0} is the smallest power which arises in the description of the elements l_i in the previous flag. In general, the order (at R) of I_n is n iff n is a multiple of p^{e_0}.

4.3. Let q be an integer such that, for all natural number m, I_{mq} has order mq at the local regular ring R. Assume that R is complete. Fix a regular system of coordinates $\{Z, X_1, \dots X_{d-1}\}$ so that the line $V(< X_1, \dots X_{d-1} >)$ is transversal to the tangent cone. Assume that for some index n, there is an element $f \in I_n$ of order n, that multiplied by a suitable unit of R, can be expressed as a monic polynomial of degree n in $S[Z]$, where S is a regular local ring with coordinates $\{X_1, \dots X_{d-1}\}$, say

$$F(Z) = Z^n + a_1 Z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n.$$

It can be noted that in such case

$$I_{(n)} := I_n \cap S[Z]$$

is an ideal spanned by monic polynomials of degree n. To check this, set

$$A = S[Z]/ < F > (= R/ < f >),$$

and note that each $g \in I_n$ has a class, say: $b_1 Z^{n-1} + b_2 Z^{n-2} + \cdots + b_n$. On the other hand

$$G(Z) = (Z^n + a_1 Z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n) + (b_1 Z^{n-1} + b_2 Z^{n-2} + \cdots + b_n)$$

is a monic polynomial in $I_n \cap S[Z]$, and all monic polynomials arising in this manner span $I_{(n)}$. In this case $I_n = I_{(n)}R$, so I_n is generated by monic polynomials of degree n in $S[Z]$.

We claim that, $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset R[W])$ can be generated by elements in $S[Z][W]$. In fact, let $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i} W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\} \subset R[W]$ be a set of generators of this graded R subalgebra of $R[W]$. We may always choose the integer n, above, to be bigger that all n_i. As $I_n \subset I_{n_i}$, then $F(Z) \in I_{n_i}$ and each $g_{n_i} W^{n_i}$ can be replaced by $G_{n_i} W^{n_i}$, where $G_{n_i} \in S[Z]$ denotes the class of g_{n_i} in A.

Another remarkable fact about simple differential algebras at a local regular ring, is that, up to integral closure, they can be generated by polynomials in $S[Z]$, say

$$\mathcal{F} = \{G_{n_i} W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\} \subset S[X][W],$$

where each G_{n_i} is monic of degree n_i in $S[Z]$. In fact, if we choose n to be divisible by all n_i, it is clear that $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is a finite extension of $G' = R[I_n W^n] (\subset R[W^n])$; and, as indicated above, I_n can be generated by monic polynomials of degree n in $S[Z]$.

Since $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is a noetherian subalgebra of $R[W]$, we may assume that so is

$$\bigoplus I_{(k)} \cdot W^k (\subset S[Z][W]).$$

In the previous section 1.42 we have defined a natural projection of a simple differential structure $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset R[W])$ into a Rees algebra $\bigoplus J_k \cdot W^k (\subset S[W])$. In fact, given $F_n \in I_{(n)}$, and $G_m \in I_{(m)}$, monic of degree n and m, then a number of weighted equations on the coefficients have been defined in S.

On Rees algebras and finite extensions.

In this section we discuss the notion of finite extensions of Rees algebras, a concept that will arise later with the notion of projection of differential structures. Fix a noetherian ring B and ideals defining a Rees algebra $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I_k \cdot W^k \subset (\subset B[W])$ as in 2.1. Set, $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I_k \cdot W^k = B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]$.

An inclusion of Rees algebras $B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}] \subset C[\{J_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]$, is defined by a ring extension $B \subset C$, and an inclusion of ideals $I_n \subset J_n$ for each n.

Given a Rees algebra $B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]$ and a positive integer m define

$$V^{(m)}(B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I_{mn}W^{mn}(\subset B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]) \tag{4.3.1}$$

In this way the inclusion

$$V^{(m)}(B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]) \subset B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}] \tag{4.3.2}$$

is a finite extension of graded rings.

Let $A \subset B$ be a ring extension, and $B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]$ a Rees algebra. An inclusion of Rees algebras arises by setting

$$B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}] \cap A[W], \tag{4.3.3}$$

(graded subring of $A[W]$). Given a ring extension $B \subset B'$, then:

$$B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}] \subset B'[\{I'_nW^n, n \geq 0\}], \tag{4.3.4}$$

where $I'_n = I_nB'$, also defines a graded extension.

4.4. Let $B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]$ be a graded structure, and assume that $A \subset B$ is a finite extension of rings. In such case one could expect that $B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]$ be a finite extension of the intersection algebra (4.3.3). Example 4.6 shows that this is not so in general. However this will be the case for our notion of projections of differential structure discussed in the coming sections (see Th 4.11).

Remark 4.5. 1) The extensions in 4.3.1 is integral, and so is that in 4.3.4 when $B \subset B'$ is integral.

2) A graded inclusion $B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}] \subset C[\{J_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]$ is an integral extension if and only if

$$V^{(m)}(B[\{I_nW^n, n \geq 0\}]) \subset V^{(m)}(C[\{J_nW^n, n \geq 0\}])$$

is integral for some m.

Proof. 1) is clear; 2) follows from the finiteness in (4.3.2).

Example 4.6. Set $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]_M \subset B = A[Z]/ < f(Z) >$, where $M =< x_1, \dots, x_n >$, and $f(Z)$ is a monic polynomial of degree e, and $f(Z) \in< M, Z >$.

Let M and N denote the maximal ideals of A and B, and assume that

$$In(f(Z)) \in gr_{<M,Z>}(A[Z]) = k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Z]$$

is such that $\{In(f), X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a regular sequence.

In such case $gr_M(S) \to gr_N(B)(= gr_{<M,Z>}/ < In(f) >)$ is flat. Note that:

i) $\bigoplus N^k \cdot W^k \cap A[W] = \bigoplus M^k \cdot W^k$.

ii) The ring extension in i) is not finite unless $f(Z) \in < M, Z >^e$.

To prove i), use the fact that flatness ensures that there is an inclusion of graded rings, $gr_M(S) \subset gr_N(B)$.

On differential structures and projections.

4.7. Fix a simple differential structure $\mathcal{G}$ in a smooth scheme Z, and $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$. Define $\mathcal{G}_x = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W])$ by localization, which again is a simple differential-structure. Let $S \subset \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$ be an inclusion of regular rings defined by a transversal projection as in 4.3. Set $R = S[Z]_{<M_S,Z>} (\subset \mathcal{O}_{Z,x})$. Choose elements $f_{c_i}(Z) \in I_{(c_i)}$, monic of degree c_i in $S[Z]$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and set $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{c_i}(Z)W^{c_i}; 1 \leq i \leq r\} \subset S[Z][W]$.

So $S[Z] \subset R$, and $S[Z][\{f_{c_i}(Z)W^{c_i}; 1 \leq i \leq r\}] \subset \mathcal{G}_x$. On the other hand, as $\mathcal{G}_x$ is a differential structure relative to the structure field k, it is also closed by differentials relative to S; in particular

$$S[Z][\{\Delta^{\alpha}(f_{c_i})W^{n_i - \alpha} / f_{c_i}W^{n_i} \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha < c_i\}] \subset \mathcal{G}_x \ (2.8).$$

Recall that the Rees algebra $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1}, f_{c_2}, \dots, f_{c_r}}$ (1.42.1) is defined as the graded S subalgebra of $S[W]$, generated by the finite set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}(a^{(1)}_1, \dots, a^{(1)}_{c_1}, \dots, a^{(r)}_1, \dots, a^{(r)}_{c_r}))W^{deg\ G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}}\}$$

(notation as in Th 1.26), where $G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$ runs among the generators of the graded algebra $\overline{R}_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$ (1.25.2), and $deg\ G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$ is the degree of the weighted homogeneous polynomial $G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$.

Here S is a regular local ring, and $Sing(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1}, f_{c_2}, \dots, f_{c_r}})$ is the closed set in $Spec(S)$ defined by the points where each element $G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}(a^{(1)}_1, \dots, a^{(1)}_{c_1}, \dots, a^{(r)}_1, \dots, a^{(r)}_{c_r})) \in S$ has order at least $deg\ G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}$ (Prop 3.9, 2)).

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{G}_x = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W])$ (localization at $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$), be a simple differential structure, and set $R = S[Z]_{<M_S,Z>} (\subset \mathcal{O}_{Z,x})$ as above. Choose elements $f_{c_i} \in I_{c_i}$ which are monic polynomials of degree c_i in $S[Z]$ and order c_i at $R = S[Z]_{<M_S,Z>}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Set $f(Z) = f_{c_1} \cdot f_{c_2} \cdots f_{c_r} \in S[Z]$, $b = c_1 + \cdots + c_r$, and consider the finite map:*

$$\pi : Spec(S[Z]/\langle f(Z) \rangle) \to Spec(S)$$

1) Locally at x the closed set $Sing(\mathcal{G})$ is included in the set of points of multiplicity b of the hypersurface $V(\langle f(Z) \rangle)$.

2) $\pi(Sing(\mathcal{G}_x)) \subset Sing(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1}, f_{c_2}, \dots, f_{c_r}})$

Proof. Note that $f(Z) \in I_b$, is an element of order b at $R = S[Z]_{<M_S,Z>}$ and hence at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$, so 1) holds by Remark 3.8. In order to prove 2) it suffices to show that the set of points of multiplicity b of $V(\langle f(Z)\rangle)$ map into $Sing(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}})$. This follows from part ii) in Theorem 1.26, and Prop 3.9, 2), as indicated above.

□

Lemma 4.9. *There are elements $f_{c_1},\dots,f_{c_r}$, as in the previous Lemma, such that locally at x: $\pi(Sing(\mathcal{G}_x)) = Sing(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}})$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that, for suitable $f_{c_1}, f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}$ as above, $Sing(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}) \subset \pi(Sing(\mathcal{G}))$. Choose f_{c_1} any element of order c_1 in I_{c_1}. Since $\mathcal{G}_x$ is finitely generated, there is an integer n_0 so that I_{n_0} has order n_0 at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$, and such that $\mathcal{G}_x$ is an integral extension of $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[I_{n_0}W^{n_0}](\subset \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W])$. In such case $V(I_{n_0}) = Sing(\mathcal{G}_x)$ (Prop 3.9, 5)), and there are elements $f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r} \in I_{n_0}$, all of order n_0, that generate the ideal I_{n_0} in a neighborhood of the point.

As indicated in 4.7, $Sing(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}})$ consists of all primes P in S, such that at the local regular ring S_P

$$\nu_{S_P}(G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r}(a^{(1)}_1,\dots,a^{(1)}_{c_1},\dots,a^{(r)}_1,\dots,a^{(r)}_{c_r}))) \geq deg\ G^l_{c_1,\dots,c_r} \qquad \text{(see 4.7).}$$

So if $P \in Sing(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}})$, Theorem 1.26, i) asserts that the morphism

$$Spec(S[Z]/ < f(Z) >) \xrightarrow{\pi} Spec(S)$$

is purely unramified over P. In particular $\pi^{-1}(P) = Q$ (is a unique prime in $Spec(S[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle)$. But here $f(Z) = f_{c_1}\cdots f_{c_2}\cdots f_{c_r}$, and each quotient $Spec(S[Z]/\langle f_{c_i}(Z)\rangle)$ is closed in

$Spec(S[Z]/\langle f(Z)\rangle)$, mapping surjectively into $Spec(S)$. So Q can be identified with a prime in $S[Z]$ (say Q again), and

$$Q \in V(\langle f_{c_1}\rangle) \cap V(\langle f_{c_2}\rangle) \cap \cdots \cap V(\langle f_{c_r}\rangle).$$

In particular

$$\langle f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}\rangle = I_{n_0} \subset Q,$$

so $Q \in V(I_{n_0}) = Sing(\mathcal{G})$, and hence $P \in \pi(Sing(\mathcal{G}))$ as was to be shown. □

Definition 4.10. We now define the *elimination algebra* $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ as the smallest subalgebra of $S[W]$, containing all (elimination) algebras $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}$, for all choices of r, and of elements $f_{c_i}(Z) \in I_{(c_i)}$ monic of degree c_i in $S[Z]$. Note that, as any graded subalgebra, it can be expressed in terms of ideals J_k in S, namely:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}} = \bigoplus J_k \cdot W^k (\subset S[W])$$

for suitable ideals J_k in S.

There is a natural inclusion of graded algebras $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}} \supset \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}$. So if we fix $f_{c_1}(Z) \in I_{(c_1)}$, monic of degree c_1 in $S[Z]$, we may also define $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ as the smallest subalgebra containing all those of the form $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}$ (including the fixed element f_{c_1}).

On the other hand we can define $B = S[Z]/\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle$, and consider the algebra induced by restriction of $\mathcal{G}$, say:

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \bigoplus \overline{I}_k \cdot W^k (\subset B[W]),$$

where $\overline{I}_k = I_k B$.

Theorem 4.11. *Set R and S as before, namely $R = S[Z]_{<M_S,Z>}$; and a simple differential structure $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset R[W])$. Fix $f_{c_1}(Z) \in I_{c_1}$, monic of degree c_1 in $S[Z]$. Set $B = S[Z]/\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle$, and*

$$\pi : Spec(S[Z]/\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle) \to Spec(S)\rangle.$$

i) $Sing(\mathcal{G}) \subset V(Diff^{c_i-1}(\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle))$, and $\pi(Sing(\mathcal{G})) = Sing(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})$.
ii) The elimination algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is included in $\overline{\mathcal{G}} \cap S[W]$ (as subalgebras of $S[W]$).
iii) $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is integral over $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ (in particular $\overline{\mathcal{G}} \cap S[W]$ is integral over $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$).
iv) The algebra $\overline{\mathcal{G}} \cap S[W]$ is, up to integral closure, independent of the choice of $f_{c_1}(Z) \in I_{c_1}$.

Proof. i) The first inclusion is 3.8. The equality follows from Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9.

ii)It suffices to show that each algebra $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}$ (f_{c_1} as above) is included in $\overline{\mathcal{G}} \cap S[W]$ as graded algebra. This will follow, on the one hand from 1.33; and, on the other hand, on the fact that $\mathcal{G}$ is closed by the action of differential operators in the variable Z. In fact, recall that $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}$ was defined in terms of the universal polynomials $F_{c_1}, F_{c_2}, \dots, F_{c_r}$, and the Rees algebra $\overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$ (see Def 1.42). Fix an homogeneous element of degree m, say G_m, in $\overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\dots,c_r}$. Corollary 1.33, 2) says that G_m can be expressed as a polynomial in

$$\{F^{(j)}_{c_i}, 0 \leq j \leq c_i, 1 \leq i \leq r\},$$

($F^{(j)}_{c_i}$ defined in terms of differential operators) with coefficients in the field k. Furthermore, such expression of $G_m = G_m(F^{(j)}_{c_i})$ is weighted homogeneous, provided $F^{(j)}_{c_i}$ is given weight $c_i - j$. The elements $f_{c_1}, f_{c_2}, \dots, f_{c_r}$ are defined from $F_{c_1}, F_{c_2}, \dots, F_{c_r}$ by base change, and each f_{c_i} is homogeneous if degree c_i in $\mathcal{G}$. Therefore the elements $f^{(j)}_{c_i}$ (defined in terms of differential operators) are homogeneous of degree $c_i - j$ in the differential structure $\mathcal{G}$; and $G_m(f^{(j)}_{c_i})$ (image of $G_m(F^{(j)}_{c_i})$) is homogeneous of degree m in $\mathcal{G}$. This proves that $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{G}} \cap S[W]$ as graded algebras, since $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\dots,f_{c_r}}$ is the graded algebra generated by all $G_m(f^{(j)}_{c_i})$.

iii) Choose a positive integer n_0 with two conditions. First that $V^{(n_0)}(\mathcal{G})$ be a usual Rees algebra defined by the ideal I_{n_0} (i.e. $V^{(n_0)}(\mathcal{G}) = \oplus_k (I_{n_0})^k W^{kn_0}$). And second that the order of I_{n_0} at the local ring R is n_0.

Such choice of n_0 and I_{n_0} is possible since $\mathcal{G}$ is finitely generated and simple.

The ideal I_{n_0} can be generated by elements of order n_0 in the local regular ring R; and, replacing R by its completion, we may assume that it is generated by monic polynomials, say $f_2(Z), \dots f_r(Z)$ in the variable Z ($I_{n_0} =< f_2(Z), \dots, f_r(Z) >$).

Recall that $B = S[Z]/\langle f_{c_1}\rangle$, and set $\overline{I}_n = I_n B$, and $\overline{f}_i \in I_n B$ as the class of f_i. In order to prove that $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is finite over the subalgebra $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$, it suffices to prove that the finite elements $\overline{f}_i$ are integral over $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Note that:

a) the elements $F_{c_i}(Y_1)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ are integral over $\overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_r}$ (see Corollary 1.33, 1)).

b) $F_{c_i}(Y_1)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ are elements in

$$k[s_1^{(1)}, \ldots, s_{c_1}^{(1)}, \ldots s_1^{(r)}, \ldots, s_{c_r}^{(r)}][Z]/ < F_{c_1}(Z) >$$

(see 1.31).

c) B and $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\ldots,f_{c_r}}$ are defined from $k[s_1^{(1)}, \ldots, s_{c_1}^{(1)}, \ldots s_1^{(r)}, \ldots, s_{c_r}^{(r)}][Z]/ < F_{c_1}(Z) >$ and from $\overline{R}_{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_r}$ by base change: $k[s_1^{(1)}, \ldots, s_{c_1}^{(1)}, \ldots s_1^{(r)}, \ldots, s_{c_r}^{(r)}] \to S(1.42)$.

This shows that the elements $\overline{f}_i$ are integral over $\overline{R}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\ldots,f_{c_r}}$, and hence over $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

(iv) Follows from (iii), since $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined independently of the choice of $f_{c_1}(Z) \in I_{c_1}$. □

Corollary 4.12. *The singular locus of the differential structure $\mathcal{G}_x = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ maps bijectively into the singular locus of the elimination algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$, which coincides with the singular locus of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$. In fact $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a finite extension of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$.*

Proof. The elimination algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ has been defined as a direct limit of algebras $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\ldots,f_{c_r}}$ (4.10). And $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\ldots,f_{c_r}}$ is the pull-back of $\overline{R}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\ldots,f_{c_r}}$ viewed as a graded subring in $T[W]$ (see 1.40). The claim follows from Proposition 1.38, and Definition 1.42. □

Theorem 4.13. *Fix a simple differential structure locally at a point $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$. Set R and S as before, namely $R = S[Z]_{<M_S,Z>}$; and say $\mathcal{G}_x = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k(\subset R[W])$. The elimination algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}(\subset S[W])$ is a graded subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}_x(\subset R[W])$, via the inclusion $S[W] \subset R[W]$.*

Proof. Recall, as in the proof of the previous corollary, the definition of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ in terms of algebras $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\ldots,f_{c_r}}$ (4.10). And that $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\ldots,f_{c_r}}$ is the pull-back of $\overline{R}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\ldots,f_{c_r}}$ (see 1.40). The claim follows from Prop 1.28, and Corollary 1.30, which show that $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_{c_1},f_{c_2},\ldots,f_{c_r}}$ is generated by elements which are weighted homogeneous on elements of $\Delta^e(f_{c_i})W^{c_i-e}$, $0 \leq e \leq c_i - 1$; and hence by homogeneous elements in $\mathcal{G}$.

5. On differential invariants and projections.

The local ring at a point, say x, of a smooth scheme V is the local regular ring $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$. There is a well defined notion of order for ideals in a local regular ring. So a sheaf of ideals, say $I \subset \mathcal{O}_V$, defines a function from V to the integers, by considering, at each point $x \in V$ the order of the ideal at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$. In Proposition 5.2 we recall the extension of this notion of order at a point of V, to the case of Rees algebras $\mathcal{G}$ on V .

In Theorem 5.5 we study the behavior of this notion of order with our notion of elimination of one variable, defined in terms of projections.

In Proposition 5.12 we show that Hironakas τ-invariant has the expected behavior in positive characteristic when considering elimination of one variable (as the known behavior of τ in characteristic zero).

5.1. The notion of Rees algebras $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{k\geq 1} I_k \cdot W^k$ parallels that of idealistic exponents in [22], and the notion of singular locus $Sing(\mathcal{G})$, is the natural analog for that defined for idealistic exponents.

We recall the definition of a function, which is the natural analog of that defined by Hironaka for idealistic exponents. We follow here the presentation of section 6 in [36]. Fix $x \in \text{Sing }(\mathcal{G})$. Given $f_nW^n \in I_nW^n$, set

$$ord_x(f_n) = \frac{\nu_x(f_n)}{n} \in \mathbb{Q};$$

called the order of f_n (weighted by n), where ν_x denotes the order at the local regular ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$. As $x \in \text{Sing }(\mathcal{G})$ it follows that $ord_x(f_n) \geq 1$. We also define

$$ord_x(\mathcal{G}) = inf\{ord_x(f_n); f_nW^n \in I_nW^n\}.$$

So, in general $ord_x(\mathcal{G}) \geq 1$ for any $x \in \text{Sing }(\mathcal{G})$; and a differential structure $\mathcal{G}$ is simple at x iff $ord_x(\mathcal{G}) = 1$ (4.1).

Proposition 5.2. *1) If $\mathcal{G}$ is generated over $\mathcal{O}_Z$ by $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i}W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, then*

$$ord_x(\mathcal{G}) = inf\{ord_x(g_{n_i}); 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

And if N is any common multiple of all $n_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, then $ord_x(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{\nu(I_N)}{N}$.

2) If $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ are Rees algebras with the same integral closure (e.g. if $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ is a finite extension), then, for any $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})(= Sing(\mathcal{G}'))$

$$ord_x(\mathcal{G}) = ord_x(\mathcal{G}').$$

3) Let $\mathcal{G}'' = \bigoplus I''_n \cdot W^n$ be the extension of $\mathcal{G}$ to a differential structure relative to k, as defined in Theorem 3.4, then for any $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})(= Sing(\mathcal{G}''))$.

$$ord_x(\mathcal{G}) = ord_x(\mathcal{G}'').$$

All assertions are easy to check . Note that the assumption in (1) always hold at an affine open set of Z.

5.3. Fix a differential structure $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{k\geq 1} I_k \cdot W^k$ over a smooth scheme V and a closed point $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$. Assume that x is a simple point, or equivalently, that $ord_x(\mathcal{G}) = 1$. In such case there must be an index n so that $\nu_x(I_n) = n$ (order of I_n at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$). In other words, there is an homogeneous element of degree n, say $f_n \cdot W^n \in \mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{k\geq 1} I_k \cdot W^k$, so that f_n has order n at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$. We claim now that if $\mathcal{G}$ is integrally closed (i.e. equal to its integral closure in $\mathcal{O}_V[W]$), then f_n can be chosen to be analytically irreducible at the local regular ring $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$. This would show, in particular, that $f_{c_1}(Z) \in S[Z]$ can be chosen to be irreducible in Theorem 4.11.

Note here that if $\mathcal{G}'$ denotes the integral closure of $\mathcal{G}$ in $\mathcal{O}_V[Z]$, then $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ is a finite extension. In Theorem 6.13 of [34], it is shown that whenever $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ is a finite extension of

Rees algebras, there is an inclusion of the differential structures spanned each of them, which is also finite. In particular, as we are assuming that $\mathcal{G}$ is already a differential structure, its integral closure is also a differential structure.

Assume that f_n has order n at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, and let $f_n = g_{r_1} \cdot g_{r_2} \cdots g_{r_s}$ be a factorization as a product of irreducible elements at the henselization of $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, say R. Then each g_{r_i} is analytically irreducible, and if r_i denotes the multiplicity of each g_{r_i} at the local regular ring R, then $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_s = n$.

Since the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem holds at henselian local rings, there is a regular subring $S \subset R$, and a polynomial ring $S[Z] \subset R$, so that up to multiplication by units, $f_n = F_n(Z)$ and each $g_{r_2} = G_{r_2}(Z)$, where $F_n(Z) = G_{r_1} \cdot G_{r_2} \cdots G_{r_s}$ is a product of irreducible polynomials in $S[Z]$, where each $G_{r_i}(Z)$ is monic of degree r_i. As each $G_i(Z)$ involves finitely many coefficients in S, we may also assume that all statements hold al a suitable étale neighborhood of the closed point x. Any differential structure is also a relative differential structure; and it was proved in 1.43 that each $G_{r_i}(Z) \cdot W^{r_i}$ is in the integral closure of $\mathcal{G}$ at such étale neighborhood (see (1.43.2)).

In particular, if we pass from $\mathcal{G}$ to its integral closure, then we may assume that $f_{c_1}(Z)$ is irreducible in $S[Z]$ and analytically irreducible in $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, in Theorem 4.11.

5.4. Given a differential structure $\mathcal{G}$ and a simple point $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$, Theorem 4.11 provides an elimination algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ on a regular scheme $Spec(S)$. We claim that this regular scheme can also be taken to be smooth over a field. In other words, that the elimination algebra can be defined within the class of smooth schemes over a field. In fact, let $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$ be a simple closed point of a differential structure $\mathcal{G}$ over a smooth scheme V of dimension d. The previous discussion also shows that after restriction to a suitable étale neighborhood of x, there is a smooth scheme $V^{(1)}$ of dimension $d-1$, and a smooth morphism $\pi_1 : V \to V^{(1)}$, so that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^{(1)}$ (4.10) can be defined at $V^{(1)}$; and S can be taken to be $\mathcal{O}_{V^{(1)},\pi(x)}$ in Theorem 4.11.

Furthermore, we may take $f_{c_1}(Z)$ to be a global section at a suitable étale neighborhood, and define $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{O}_{V^{(1)}}[W]$ so that $\pi_1(Sing(\mathcal{G})) = Sing(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^{(1)})$. The setting of Theorem 4.11 holds at any closed point $y \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$ by taking $S = \mathcal{O}_{V^{(1)},\pi_1(y)}$.

Theorem 5.5. *Fix a simple closed point $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\pi_1 : V \to V^{(1)}$ and $\pi_2 : V \to V^{(2)}$ be as above, two morphisms of smooth schemes ($dim(V^{(1)}) = dim(V^{(2)}) = d-1$); defining elimination algebras, say $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{O}_{V^{(1)}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{O}_{V^{(2)}}$. Then*

$$ord_{\pi_1(x)}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^{(1)}) = ord_{\pi_2(x)}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^{(2)}).$$

This shows that $ord_{\pi(x)}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})$ is an invariant of $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$ (i.e. independent of the projection π).

We will introduce some notation and discuss preliminary results before we address the proof in 5.10.

5.6. Let $k[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]$ be the ring of formal power series over a field k; and let f_c an irreducible element of multiplicity c. Let B denote the quotient $k[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]/\langle f_c \rangle$, which is a domain with quotient field, say L. Weierstrass Preparation Theorem asserts that for a sufficiently general choice of coordinates we may assume that, up to multiplication by a unit, f_c is a monic polynomial of degree c in the variable x_d, and that the class of $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}$ in B span a reduction of the maximal ideal.

Here B is a finite extension, and a free module of rank c, over the subring of formal power series, say $S = k[[x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}]]$. Let K denote the total quotient field of S, and note that L is a finite extension of degree c over K.

For each discrete valuation ring, say V in L, we consider the restriction, say

$$V_K = V \cap K.$$

We can identify the group of values of V with the integers $\mathbb{Z}$, , and define the *ramification index* of V over V_K to be the index in $\mathbb{Z}$ of the subgroup of values of V_K.

Let $Spec(B) \leftarrow F$ denote the normalized blowup of B at the maximal ideal. Let $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_l$ denote the irreducible exceptional hypersurfaces of F, and let $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_l$ denote the discrete valuation rings in L , where each V_i is the local ring of the normal scheme F at the generic point of the hypersurface H_i.

Let $Spec(S) \leftarrow Y$ denote the blow up of S at the maximal ideal (i.e. the quadratic transformation). So here Y is regular, and has one exceptional hypersurface h, let V_S be the local ring of Y at the generic point of h. V_S is the valuation at K which extends the order at the local regular ring S, for elements in S.

Lemma 5.7. *For each discrete valuation ring V_i , $i = 1, ..., l$ as above, the ramification index of V_i over $(V_i)_K$ is the order at V_i of the maximal ideal of B.*

Proof. Let $Spec(B) \leftarrow \overline{Y}$ denote the fiber product of $Spec(S) \leftarrow Y$ with the finite morphism $Spec(B) \rightarrow Spec(S)$. Note that $Spec(B) \leftarrow \overline{Y}$ is also the blow up of B at the ideal spanned by the elements $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}$, say $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} \rangle B$. So $\overline{Y}$ is a finite extension of Y, and the total quotient field of $\overline{Y}$ is L. Since $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} \rangle B$ is a reduction of the maximal ideal of B, it follows that F is the normalization of $\overline{Y}$, and that $(V_i)_K = V_S$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$. Furthermore, as the ideal spanned by $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}$ has order one at V_S, it also follows that the ramification index of V_i over V_S is the order of the ideal spanned by $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}$ at V_i. But this is the order of the maximal ideal of B at V_i. In fact, the maximal ideal and $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} \rangle B$ have the same integral closure.

Remark 5.8. Fix notation as above, and let e_i denote the ramification index of V_i over $(V_i)_K = V_S$, for $i = 1, \dots, l$. Let J be an ideal in the local regular ring S. The order of J at S is the valuation of J at V_S, say $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from Lemma 5.7 that the order of the extended ideal JB at the valuation V_i is the integer $b \cdot e_i$.

Corollary 5.9. *The ramification index of each V_i over $(V_i)_K$ is independent of the choice of $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}$ (i.e. of $S = k[[x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}]] \subset B$), as far as $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} \rangle B$ is a reduction of the maximal ideal of B.*

5.10. *Proof of Theorem 5.5* Here $\mathcal{G}=\bigoplus I_k\cdot W^k(\subset \mathcal{O}_V[W])$ is a differential structure and $x\in Sing(\mathcal{G})$ is a simple point. Set $\pi_1: V\to V^{(1)}$ and

$$\mathcal{R}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{G}}=\bigoplus J^{(1)}_k\cdot W^k(\subset \mathcal{O}_{V^{(1)}}[W])$$

for suitable ideals $J^{(1)}_k$ in $\mathcal{O}_{V^{(1)}}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_x=\bigoplus (I_k)_x\cdot W^k(\subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x}[W])$ be the localization at $x\in V$. As the point x is simple there must be an index c and an element $f_c\in (I_c)_x$ of order c at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$. At a suitable étale neighborhood of x and $\pi_1(x)$, π_1 induces a finite morphism from the subscheme defined by $\langle f_c\rangle$ to $V^{(1)}$. In particular, a finite morphism

$$\pi_1: Spec(\mathcal{O}_{V,x}/\langle f_c\rangle)\to Spec(\mathcal{O}_{V^{(1)},x}).$$

Weierstrass Preparation Theorem asserts that (at a suitable étale neighborhood), setting $S=\mathcal{O}_{V^{(1)},x}$, there is an inclusion of regular local rings, say $R=S[Z]_{<M_S,Z>}\subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, and a monic polynomial of degree c, say $f_c(Z)\in S[Z]$, so that

$$B=\mathcal{O}_{V,x}/\langle f_c\rangle = S[Z]/\langle f_c(Z)\rangle.$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{G}}=\bigoplus \overline{I}_k\cdot W^k(\subset B[W])$ be the algebra induced by restriction of $\mathcal{G}$, where $\overline{I}_k=I_kB$.

Theorem 4.11, (iii), states that up to integral closure, the localization of $\mathcal{R}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{G}}$ at $\pi_1(x)$ is $\overline{\mathcal{G}}\cap S[W]$. In particular $ord_{\pi_1(x)}(\mathcal{R}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{G}})=ord_{\pi_1(x)}(\overline{\mathcal{G}}\cap S[W])$ (5.2, (2)).

Note that if $\mathcal{G}\subset\mathcal{G}'$ is a finite extension of differential structures in $\mathcal{O}_V[W]$, then the restrictions to $B[W]$, say $\overline{\mathcal{G}}\subset\overline{\mathcal{G}}'$, is also a finite extension. Therefore $\overline{\mathcal{G}}\cap S[W]\subset\overline{\mathcal{G}}'\cap S[W]$ is a finite extension, and hence $ord_{\pi_1(x)}(\overline{\mathcal{G}}\cap S[W])=ord_{\pi_1(x)}(\overline{\mathcal{G}}'\cap S[W])$ (5.2, (2)).

As the integral closure of a differential structure is a differential structure (5.3), we may assume, for the proof of this Theorem, that $\mathcal{G}$ is integrally closed. In particular, as indicated in (5.3), we may assume here that $f_c\in I_c$ is chosen to be analytically irreducible. In other words, we may assume that the completion of local ring B is irreducible.

Set $S_1=\mathcal{O}_{V^{(1)},\pi_1}$ and $S_2=\mathcal{O}_{V^{(2)},\pi_2}$. Both play the role of S in the previous discussion. Set now, by localization at $\pi_i(x)$:

$$(\mathcal{R}^{(i)}_{\mathcal{G}})_{\pi_i(x)}=\bigoplus J^{(i)}_k\cdot W^k(\subset S_i[W])\ (i=1,2).$$

Each $\mathcal{R}^{(i)}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Rees algebras over the smooth scheme $V^{(i)}$. The claim is that

$$ord_{\pi_1(x)}(\mathcal{R}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{G}})=ord_{\pi_2(x)}(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{\mathcal{G}}).$$

We can choose an integer N, so that both $ord_{\pi_i(x)}(\mathcal{R}^{(i)}_{\mathcal{G}})=\frac{\nu_{\pi_i(x)}(J^{(i)}_N)}{N}$, for $i=1,2$ (see Prop 5.2,(1)). In particular it suffices to show that $\nu_{\pi_1(x)}(J^{(1)}_N)=\nu_{\pi_2(x)}(J^{(2)}_N)$, where $\nu_{\pi_1(x)}$ denotes the order at the local regular ring S_i (i=1,2).

Within the local ring B there are two regular local rings S_1 and S_2. Theorem 4.11,(iii) asserts that the inclusions

$$(\mathcal{R}^{(i)}_{\mathcal{G}})_{\pi_i(x)}=\bigoplus J^{(i)}_k\cdot W^k\subset\overline{\mathcal{G}}=\bigoplus \overline{I}_k\cdot W^k(\subset B[W])$$

are both finite, for $i = 1, 2$. We can choose the integer N so that, in addition to the previous conditions, $V^{(N)}(\overline{\mathcal{G}})$, $V^{(N)}(\mathcal{R}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{G}})_{\pi_1(x)})$, and $V^{(N)}(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{\mathcal{G}})_{\pi_2(x)})$ are all Rees rings of ideals. As $V^{(N)}(\mathcal{R}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{G}})_{\pi_1(x)}) \subset V^{(N)}(\overline{\mathcal{G}})$ is a finite extension of Rees rings (see Remark 4.5), it follows that $J^{(1)}_N \cdot B$, and $\overline{I}_N$ have the same integral closure. A similar argument proves that the three ideals: $J^{(1)}_N \cdot B$, $J^{(2)}_N \cdot B$, and $\overline{I}_N$ have the same integral closure at B.

Fix notation as in 5.6, where $Spec(B) \leftarrow F$ denotes the the normalized blow up of B at the maximal ideal, and $V_1, \dots, V_l$ are valuation rings corresponding to the irreducible exceptional hypersurfaces in F. Set $S = S_1$ and let $Spec(S) \leftarrow Y$ and V_S also as in 5.6.

Fix the valuation ring among $V_1, \dots, V_l$, say V_1. As V_1 dominates the local domain B, and $J^{(1)}_N \cdot B$, and $\overline{I}_N$ have the same integral closure at B, it follows that both ideals have the same valuation at V_1.

Let e_1 denote the ramification index of V_1 over V_S. Remark 5.8 says that the valuation of the ideal $J^{(1)}_N \cdot B$ at the valuation ring V_1 is $\nu_{S_1}(J^{(1)}_N) \cdot e_1$. Finally Corollary 5.9 insures that

$$\nu_{S_1}(J^{(1)}_N) \cdot e_1 = \nu_{S_2}(J^{(2)}_N) \cdot e_1,$$

as both coincide with the order of $\overline{I}_N$ at V_1. In particular $\nu_{S_1}(J^{(1)}_N) = \nu_{S_2}(J^{(2)}_N)$, as was to be shown. $\square$

On Hironaka's τ-invariant and projections.

5.11. We now discuss a property of elimination of one variable which parallels well known properties of elimination (or induction) in desingularization theorems over fields of characteristic zero. To clarify this point recall that the elimination of a variable, when passing from a differential structure $\mathcal{G}$ to the structure $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$, is defined locally at a point $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$ when this point is a simple point.

Recall that an homogeneous tangent ideal is defined at the point in this simple case, and that $\tau = s_r$ is strictly positive in (4.2.1). In the case of characteristic zero $s_0 = s_r$, and the algebra obtained by elimination will also be simple, unless $\tau = s_0 = 1$. In fact if $\tau > 1$ at $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$, the invariant τ' in the elimination algebra is $\tau - 1$. The following results shows that this also holds in the context of positive characteristic.

Proposition 5.12. *If, in the previous setting $\tau(\mathcal{G}_x) > 1$, then the elimination structure $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a simple structure.*

Proof. In what follows we assume that R is the completion of the local ring at a closed point. After change of base field, which does not affect our arguments, we may assume that the closed point is rational over the field k. Let $\{z, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\}$ be a regular system of parameters at M (the maximal ideal of R), and set

$$gr_M(R) = k[Z, X_1, \dots, X_{d-1}]$$

the graded ring, so the variables are the initial forms of the parameters.

In this case we may assume that the ideal of the tangent cone is generated by p-th powers of these variables. Assume that there are at least two elements, say $Z^{p^{e'}}, X_1^{p^{e''}} \in k[Z, X_1, \dots, X_{d-1}]$, in the tangent ideal.

For a suitable p-th power, say n, there is an element $F_n(z) \in I_n$ ($n = p^e$), and an element $g_n \in I_n$, such that:

i) $In_{p^e}(F_{p^e}(z)) = Z^{p^e}$, and

ii) $In_{p^e}(g_{p^e}) = X_1^{p^e}$.

Weierstrass Preparation Theorem allows us to assume that

$$F_{p^e}(z) \in S[z]$$

is a monic polynomial in the variable z, where (S, N) is the formal power series ring, and the maximal ideal N is generated by the regular system of parameters $\{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\}$. In fact, multiplication by a unit modifies the initial form by multiplication by a non-zero constant in the field. Set

$$F_{p^e}(z) = z^{p^e} + a_1 z^{p^e-1} + \cdots + a_{p^e} \in S[z],$$

and note that $\nu_S(a_i) > i$. We claim that the class, say

$$\overline{g}_{p^e} = b_1 \overline{z}^{p^e-1} + b_2 \overline{z}^{p^e-2} \cdots + b_{p^e} \in S[z]/ < F_{p^e}(z) >,$$

is such that $\nu_S(b_i) > i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p^e - 1$, and $In_N(b_{p^e}) = X_1^{p^e}$. This can be checked at the formal power series ring R. In fact the class is obtained by replacing the powers z^N, for all $N > p^e$, in the formal expression of g_{p^e}, by smaller powers. And this is done by means of the relation:

$$z^{p^e} = -a_1 z^{p^e-1} - \cdots - a_{p^e}.$$

But $-a_1 z^{p^e-1} - \cdots - a_{p^e} \in M^{p^e+1}$, so this operation does not affect the initial form of g_{p^e}.

The ring $S[z]/ < F_{p^e}(z) >$ is a free S module, and multiplication by $\overline{g}_{p^e}$ has a characteristic polynomial. The norm, say

$$|\overline{g}_{p^e}| = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq p^e} (b_1 \overline{z}_i^{p^e-1} + b_2 \overline{z}_i^{p^e-2} \cdots + b_{p^e}),$$

is defined formally as this product, where $\overline{z}_i$ are (formally) the images of $\overline{z}$ in the different embeddings. The product is a linear combination on the symmetric functions on $\overline{z}_i$, which in turn are weighted functions on the coefficients a_i. Since $\nu_S(a_i) > i$, the order of these elements is higher then the expected order, so

$$In_S(|b_1 \overline{z}^{p^e-1} + b_2 \overline{z}^{p^e-2} \cdots + b_{p^e}|) = X_1^{(p^e)^2},$$

and the weight of the norm $|\overline{g_{p^e}}| \in S$ is precisely $(p^e)^2$ (i.e. $|\overline{g_{p^e}}| W^{(p^e)^2} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$).

This shows that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is simple, and hence $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is simple (4.12). $\square$

6. Monoidal transformations of structures and examples.

Definition 6.1. Let $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset \mathcal{O}_V$ be a Rees algebra over a smooth scheme V. A monoidal transformation with smooth center $Y \subset V$, say $V \longleftarrow V'$, is said to be *permissible* for $\mathcal{G}$ if $Y \subset Sing(\mathcal{G})$.

The exceptional locus is a smooth hypersurface, say $H \subset V'$. Since I_n has order at least n along Y, $I_n\mathcal{O}_{V'} \subset I(H)^n$. In particular , there is a factorization, say

$$I_n\mathcal{O}_{V'} = I_n^{(1)} \cdot I(H)^n,$$

for a unique sheaf of ideals $I_n^{(1)}$, which we call the *weighted transform* of I_n. The *weighted transform* of $\mathcal{G}$ will be the Rees algebra:

$$\bigoplus I_n^{(1)} \cdot W^k (\subset \mathcal{O}_{V'}[W]).$$

Assume that, after restriction to an affine open set of V, $\mathcal{G}$ is generated by $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i}W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. The total transform of g_{n_i} is an element of $I(H)^{n_i}$ (i.e. vanishes along the hypersurface H with order at least n_i). And the total transform of $\mathcal{G}$ is generated by $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{V'}[W]$.

There is an open covering of V', so that locally $g_{n_i}\mathcal{O}_{V'} =< g'_{n_i} > \cdot I(H)^{n_i}$, for a principal ideal spanned by some g'_{n_i}. This defines g'_{n_i} locally, and up to a unit. Any such g'_{n_i} will be called a *weighted transform* of g_{n_i}.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset \mathcal{O}_V[W])$ be a Rees algebra on V, generated by elements $\{g_{N_1}W^{N_1}, \dots, g_{N_s}W^{N_s}\}$; and let $V \leftarrow V'$ be a permissible monoidal transformation. Then the weighted transform of $\mathcal{G}$ is (locally) generated by $\{g'_{N_1}W^{N_1}, \dots, g'_{N_s}W^{N_1}\}$, where each g'_{N_i} is a weighted transform of g_{N_i}.*

(see [36] Prop. 1.3).

Remark 6.3. If $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset \mathcal{G}' = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is a finite extension of graded algebras in $\mathcal{O}_V[W]$), then $Sing(\mathcal{G}) = Sing(\mathcal{G}')$ (3.9), and there is an inclusion of the weighted transforms, say $(\mathcal{G})_1 \subset (\mathcal{G}')_1$ which is a finite extension on V'.

Remark 6.4. It is convenient to pass from an arbitrary Rees algebra to a differential structure, say to the differential extension. For one thing the differential extension does not affect the singular locus. On the other hand the weighted transform of a differential structure is not necessarily a differential structure. This rises some question of compatibility of these extensions and with monoidal transformations.

Suppose that a hypersurface X, embedded in a smooth scheme V, has points of multiplicity b and no point of multiplicity $b+1$. In order to study the b-fold points we consider the Rees algebra, say $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_V[I(X)W^b]$. Note that $Sing(\mathcal{G})$ is the closed set of points where the hypersurface has multiplicity b. $V \leftarrow V_1$ a monoidal transformation at $Y \subset Sing(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\mathcal{G}_1$ be the weighted transform of $\mathcal{G}$, and set X_1 as the strict transform of X. Then $\mathcal{G}_1$ is the Rees algebra $\mathcal{O}_{V_1}[I(X_1)W^b]$, so $Sing(\mathcal{G}_1)$ is the set of b-fold points of X_1.

Consider again the situation at V. And pass from an ordinary Rees algebra $\mathcal{G}$, to the differential structure that it spans, say $\mathcal{G}'$. So locally at $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G}) = Sing(\mathcal{G}')$, we have defined a projection $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'}$.

The monoidal transform $V \leftarrow V_1$ defines a transform of $\mathcal{G}'$, say $\mathcal{G}'_1$, and clearly

$$\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}'_1.$$

Our interest is on the b-fold points of X_1, namely on $Sing(\mathcal{G}_1)$. We can consider the differential structure generated by $\mathcal{G}_1$. It is therefore clear, that in a step by step argument, we would like to relate the differential structure spanned by $\mathcal{G}_1$, with that spanned by $\mathcal{G}'_1$. In Theorem 6.6 we address this form of compatibility of monoidal transformations and extensions by differential operators.

6.5. Fix three Rees algebras on smooth scheme V, say

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_n W^n \subset \mathcal{G}' = \bigoplus I'_n W^n \subset \mathcal{G}'' = \bigoplus I''_n W^n,$$

as in Corollary 3.5, so that $\mathcal{G}''$ is the differential structure spanned by $\mathcal{G}$. Then

$$Sing(\mathcal{G}) = Sing(\mathcal{G}') = Sing(\mathcal{G}'').$$

In particular, a monoidal transformation $V \longleftarrow V'$, at a smooth center $Y \subset Sing(\mathcal{G})$, defines weighted transforms, say $\mathcal{G}^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{G}'^{(1)}$, and $\mathcal{G}''^{(1)}$.

The following result is the so called Giraud's Lemma, formulated here in the context of graded and differential structures.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{G}''(\subset \mathcal{O}_V[W])$ be an inclusion of Rees algebras as above, so that $\mathcal{G}''$ is the differential structure generated by $\mathcal{G}$. Fix a monoidal transformation $V \longleftarrow V'$ with center $Y \subset Sing(\mathcal{G})$. Then*

1) $\mathcal{G}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{G}'^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{G}''^{(1)}(\subset \mathcal{O}_{V'}[W])$, and

2) all three Rees algebras in 1) span the same differential structure in V'.

In particular, the condition on the inclusion $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ in Corollary 3.5, is preserved by weighted transformations of Rees algebras.

Projection of differential structures and monoidal transformations.

6.7. Consider a simple differential structure $\mathcal{G}$ and a closed point $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$. Set

$$\mathcal{G}_x = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x}[W]).$$

Since the structure is simple, there is an index c_1 and an element $f_{c_1} \in I_{c_1}$ of order c_1 at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$. At a suitable étale neighborhood, or at the completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x}$, we can assume that f_{c_1} is a monic polynomial of degree c_1 in $S[Z]$, and of order c_1 in $R = S[Z]_{<M_S,Z>}$, where S is regular, $R \subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, and $\hat{R} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x}$. These data define a finite morphism

$$\pi : Spec(S[Z]/\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle) \to Spec(S)\rangle.$$

Here we view $X = Spec(S[Z]/\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle)$ as a hypersurface in V. Theorem 4.11, (i), asserts that, locally at x, $Sing(\mathcal{G})$ is included in the c_1-fold points of this hypersurface (i.e. in $V(Diff^{c_i-1}(\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle))$.

Under these conditions π is one to one on the closed set $V(Diff^{c_i-1}(\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle))$. Furthermore, if Y is a closed and smooth subscheme of $V(Diff^{c_i-1}(\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle))$, then Y is isomorphic to $\pi(Y)(\subset Spec(S))$. So both Y in V, and $\pi(Y)$ in $Spec(S)$, are regular centers.

Let now $V \leftarrow V'$, and $Spec(S) \leftarrow U$, denote the monoidal transformations at Y and $\pi(Y)$ respectively; and let X' denote the strict transform of X. The hypersurface X' has at most points of multiplicity c_1. Let $F(\subset X')$ denote the closed set of points of multiplicity c_1. After replacing X' by a suitable neighborhood of F, we may assume that there is a finite morphism, say $X' \to U$, compatible with π.

If the regular center Y was chosen in $Sing(\mathcal{G})$, then a weighted transform, say

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \bigoplus I_n^{(1)} \cdot W^k (\subset \mathcal{O}_{V'}[W])$$

is defined. As $I(X) \subset I_{c_1}$, it follows that $I(X') \subset I_{c_1}^{(1)}$ (where $I(X)$ and $I(X')$ are the sheaves of ideals defining X and X' respectively). In particular $Sing(\mathcal{G}_1) \subset F$ (points of multiplicity c_1). Fix a closed point $y \in Sing(\mathcal{G}_1)$ mapping to x. Locally at y there is a finite morphism

$$\pi' : Spec(S'[Z]/\langle f'_{c_1}(Z)\rangle) \to U,$$

where f'_{c_1} is a weighted (or strict) transform of f_{c_1}. Let $\mathcal{G}'_1$ be the differential structure generated by $\mathcal{G}_1$. According to Theorem 4.11, locally at $\pi'(y)$, there is an elimination algebra, say

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{U,\pi'(y)}[W].$$

Remark 6.8. Suppose that locally at the simple point $x \in Sing(\mathcal{G})$, as above, we fix two projections, say $\pi_1 : V \to V^{(1)}$ and $\pi_2 : V \to V^{(2)}$ (two morphisms of smooth schemes $(dim(V^{(1)}) = dim(V^{(2)}) = d-1)$.

The monoidal transformation $V \leftarrow V'$ at a center $Y \subset Sing(\mathcal{G})$ defines a transform, say $\mathcal{G}_1$, and this in turn spans a differential structure $\mathcal{G}'_1$.

The previous discussion shows that one can define, say $V^{(1)} \leftarrow U^{(1)}$, the monoidal transformation with center $\pi_1(Y)$; and $V^{(2)} \leftarrow U^{(2)}$, with center $\pi_2(Y)$. It also indicates that if we fix a point $y \in Sing(\mathcal{G}'_1)$, mapping to x, then we can define two different structures, say

$$\mathcal{R}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{G}'_1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{U^{(1)},\pi'_1(y)}[W] \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{\mathcal{G}'_2} \subset \mathcal{O}_{U^{(2)},\pi'_2(y)}[W].$$

The same argument used in the proof of Theorem 5.5 (see 5.10), shows that

$$ord_{\pi'_1(y)}(\mathcal{R}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{G}'_1}) = ord_{\pi'_2(y)}(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{\mathcal{G}'_2}).$$

Furthermore, a similar result holds after applying several permissible monoidal transformations over the original simple differential structure $\mathcal{G}$.

6.9. Note that in the setting of 6.7, since $Y \subset Sing(\mathcal{G})$, $\pi(Y) \subset Sing(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})$, and there is also a weighted transform, say

$$(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_{U'}[W].$$

The question now is to relate the Rees algebra $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1$ with $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_1}$, locally at the point $\pi(y)$. One can check, from the definition of elimination algebra, that there is an inclusion:

$$(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1 \subset \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_1}. \tag{6.9.1}$$

Here $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1$ is the transform of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ by one monoidal transformation. If we could guarantee that $Sing(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1 = \pi'(Sing(\mathcal{G}_1)$, we could identify the singular locus of $\mathcal{G}_1$ (i.e. of $\mathcal{G}'_1$) with the singular locus of the transform of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$. If furthermore, this link between $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is preserved by any sequence of monoidal transformations, then we have achieved a way of representing the singular locus of $\mathcal{G}$ which is stable by monoidal transformations (see property (C), of stability of elimination, in the Introduction).

This will be the case when the invariant e_0 attached to the simple point x in 4.2 is one. In this case f_{c_0} can be taken to be a monic polynomial of degree 1, defining therefore a smooth hypersurface, and in such case $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is simply the *restriction* of $\mathcal{G}$ at such smooth hypersurface. The notion of restriction of differential structures on a smooth subcheme appear in [23] and also in [26] (see also section 5 in [34]). When this holds, then the terms in 6.9.1 span the same differential structure. In particular:

$$Sing((\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1) = Sing(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_1}) = \pi'(Sing(\mathcal{G}_1)). \tag{6.9.2}$$

And furthermore, a similar result holds for any sequence of, say r, monoidal transformations, namely that there is an inclusion $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_r \subset \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_r}$ and both terms span the same differential structure. In particular:

$$Sing((\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_r) = Sing(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_r}) = \pi'(Sing(\mathcal{G}_r)). \tag{6.9.3}$$

This is not the case, at least in general, when the invariant e_0 is a power of the characteristic. The following examples illustrate this pattern of behavior.

Example 6.10. The following is an example in characteristic zero, which illustrates that $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_1}$ span the same differential structure; as indicated above. In characteristic zero, e_0 is always 1, in particular (6.9.2) always holds.

Set $R = \mathbb{Q}[Y, Z]$. Consider the Rees algebra in $R[W]$ generated (over R) by the element $(Z^2 + Y^5)W^2$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset R[W])$ be the differential structure generated by this graded algebra. According to Theorem 3.4 and formula (3.4.1), $\mathcal{G}$ is generated by $\{2ZW, 5Y^4W, (Z^2 + Y^5)W^2\}$, or say $\{ZW, Y^4W\}$.

The projection in $S = k[Y]$, namely $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is generated by $\{Y^4W\}$.

Consider the quadratic transformation at the relevant chart $\mathbb{Q}[Y, Z_1]$, where $Y \cdot Z_1 = Z$. Here $\mathcal{G}_1$ is generated by $\{Z_1W, Y^3W\}$ which is already a differential structure. The projection, say $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}_1}$ is the S subalgebra in $S[W]$ generated by $\{Y^3W\}$. One can check now that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}_1} = (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1$ in this case.

Example 6.11. The following is an example in which $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_1}$ do not span the same differential structure.

Fix a field k of characteristic two, and set $R = k[Y, Z]$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset R[W])$ be,as before, the differential structure generated by $(Z^2 + Y^5)W^2$. Here $\mathcal{G}$ is generated by $\{Y^4W, (Z^2 + Y^5)W^2\}$.

The projection in $S = k[Y]$, namely $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is generated by $\{Y^8W^2\}$, so up to integral closure it is generated $\{Y^4W\}$. To check this fact consider

$$\pi : Spec(S[Z]/\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle) \to Spec(S),$$

where $f_{c_1} = Z^2 + Y^5$. This is a purely inseparable extension, and the projection $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is (up to integral closure) generated by the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of multiplication by Y^4 in the free S-module $B = S[Z]/\langle f_{c_1}(Z)\rangle$ (see Corollary 4.12). In this case $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is generated by Y^8W^2, so up to integral closure $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is generated by Y^4W.

Consider the quadratic transformation at the relevant chart $k[Y, Z_1]$, where $Y \cdot Z_1 = Z$. Here $\mathcal{G}_1$ is generated by $\{Y^3W, (Z_1^2 + Y^3)W^2\}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}'_1$ be the differential structure generated by $\mathcal{G}_1$. Then the projection $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_1}$ is, up to integral closure, generated by $\{Y^2W\}$. So in this case $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_1}$ spans a differential structure different from that of $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1$. In fact $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1$ is generated by Y^3W.

6.12. The situation in 6.11, in which in which $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_1}$ do not span the same differential structure, can only occur when the invariant e_0 is not 1. In this case $p = 2$ and $e_0 = 2$ for the differential structure $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset R[W])$.

An interesting case, also computable from our invariants, is that of $Z^3 + X^{13}Z + X^{16}$ in characteristic 3. The invariant e_0 at the origin is 3. This curve is analytically irreducible, the singularity is resolved with five quadratic transformations.

In this case $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}'_1}$ spans the same differential structure as $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})_1$ for the first transformation, but not for the next 4 quadratic transformations.

REFERENCES

[1] S.S. Abhyankar, *Good points of a Hypersurface*, Adv. in Math. 68 (1988) 87-256.

[2] S.S. Abhyankar, T.T. Moh. *Newton-Puiseux expansion and generalized Tschirnhausen transformation*, Crelle Journal 260 (1973) 47-83 and 261 (1973) 29-54.

[3] D. Abramovich and J. Wang, 'Equivariant resolution of singularities in characteristic 0', *Mathematical Research Letters* 4 (1997) 427-433.

[4] A. Altman and S. Kleiman, 'Introduction to Grothendieck Duality Theory', *Lecture Notes in Math.* 146.

[5] J. Alvarez-Montaner and G. Lyubeznik, 'A surprising fact about D-modules in characteristic $p > 0$', arXiv:math.AC/0407464.

[6] J.M. Aroca, H. Hironaka and J.L. Vicente, 'The theory of maximal contact', *Mem. Mat. Ins. Jorge Juan (Madrid)* 29 (1975).

[7] E. Bierstone and P. Milman, 'Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing-up the maxima strata of a local invariant', *Inv. Math.* 128 (1997) 207-302.

[8] E. Bierstone and P. Milman, 'Desingularization algorithms I. Role of exceptional divisors'. Mosc. Math. J. 3 (2003), no3, 751-805, 1197. MR2078560

[9] G. Bodnár and J. Schicho 'A Computer Program for the Resolution of Singularities', *Resolution of singularities. A research book in tribute of Oscar Zariski* (eds H. Hauser, J. Lipman, F. Oort, A. Quirós), Progr. Math. 181 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000) pp.
231-238.

[10] G. Bodnár and J. Schicho, 'Automated resolution of singularities for hypersurfaces', *J. Symbolic Comput.* (4) 30 (2000) 401-428.

[11] A. Bravo and O. Villamayor, 'A Strengthening of resolution of singularities in characteristic zero'. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 86 (2003) 327-357.

[12] S. Encinas and H. Hauser, 'Strong Resolution of Singularities'. Comment. Math. Helv. 77 2002, no. 4, 821-845.

[13] S. Encinas and O. Villamayor, 'Good points and constructive resolution of singularities', *Acta Math.* 181:1 (1998) 109-158.

[14] S. Encinas and O. Villamayor, 'A Course on Constructive Desingularization and Equivariance', *Resolution of Singularities. A research textbook in tribute to Oscar Zariski* (eds H. Hauser, J. Lipman, F. Oort, A. Quirós), Progr. in Math. 181 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000) pp. 147-227.

[15] S. Encinas and O. Villamayor, 'A new proof of desingularization over fields of characteristic zero'. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 19 (2003), no.2, 339-353.

[16] J. Giraud, 'Sur la theorie du contact maximal', *Math. Zeit.*, 137 (1972), 285-310.

[17] J. Giraud. 'Contact maximal en caractéristique positive', *Ann. Scien. de l'Ec. Norm. Sup. 4ème série*, 8 (1975) 201-234.

[18] H. Hauser, *Excellent surfaces and Their Taut Resolution* in *Resolution of Singularities. A research textbook in tribute to Oscar Zariski*, Eds. H. Hauser, J. Lipman, F. Oort, A. Quirós. Progress in Math. vol **181**, Birkhäuser 2000.

[19] H. Hauser, 'The Hironaka Theorem on Resolution of Singularities'(or: A proof we always wanted to understand), Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)40 (2003), no. 3,323-403.

[20] H. Hauser, 'Seventeen obstacles for resolution of singularities.' The Brieskorn Anniversary Vol. (eds V.I.Arnold et al), (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998) .

[21] H. Hironaka, 'Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero I-II', *Ann. Math.*, 79 (1964) 109–326.

[22] H. Hironaka, 'Idealistic exponent of a singularity', *Algebraic Geometry*, The John Hopkins centennial lectures, Baltimore, John Hopkins University Press (1977), 52-125.

[23] H. Hironaka, 'Theory of infinitely near singular points', Journal Korean Math. Soc. 40 (2003), No.5, pp. 901-920

[24] H. Hironaka, 'Three key theorems on infinitely near singularities ', Singularités Franco-Japonaises. Jean-Paul Brasselet - Tatsuo Suwa. Seminaires et Congrés 10 SMF (2005)

[25] H. Kawanoue, 'Toward resolution of singularities over a field of positive characteristic' arXiv:math.AG/ 0607009 3 Aug 2006.

[26] J. Kollár, 'Resolution of Singularities- Seattle Lecture' arXiv:math.AG/ 0508332 v1 17 Aug 2005.

[27] J. Lipman, 'Introduction to Resolution of Singularities', Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. 29 (1975) pp. 187-230.

[28] K. Matsuki, 'Notes on the inductive algorithm of resolution of singularities'. Preprint. arXiv:math.math.AG/0103120

[29] T. Oda, 'Infinitely very near singular points', *Complex analytic singularities*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. 8 (North-Holland, 1987) pp. 363–404.

[30] S. P. Smith, 'The global homological dimension of the ring of differential operators on a nonsingular variety over a field of positive characteristic', *J. Algebra* **107** (1987), no.1, 98-105.

[31] I. Swanson, O. Villamayor, 'On free Integral extensions generated by one element. *Commutative Algebra with a focus on geometric and homological aspects. Proceedings of Sevilla 2003.* To appear in Marcel Dekker's Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[32] O. Villamayor, 'Constructiveness of Hironaka's resolution', *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.* 4^{e} serie 22 (1989) 1-32.

[33] O. Villamayor, 'Patching local uniformizations', *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.*, 25 (1992), 629-677.

[34] O. Villamayor, 'Rees algebras on smooth schemes: integral closure and higher differential operatores. Preprint.

[35] O. Villamayor, 'Singularity of the embedded hypersurface and Tschirnhausen revisited.' Academia Nacional de Ciencias en Cordoba (Argentina). Boletin nm. 65.

[36] O. Villamayor, 'Differential operators on smooth schemes and embbeded singularities.' Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina. Vol 46, No. 2, 2005, pp 1-18. Available at http://inmabb.criba.edu.ar/revuma/revuma.php?p=toc/vol46

[37] J. Wlodarczyk, 'Simple Hironaka resolution in characteristic zero', Journal of the American Math. Soc.(2005).

[38] B. Youssin, 'Newton Polyhedra without coordinates', Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 433 (1990), 1-74,75-99.

[39] O. Zariski, P. Samuel *Commutative Algebra (Vol II)* University Series in Higher Mathematics. D. Van Nostrand Company (1960).

Dpto. Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Canto Blanco 28049 Madrid, Spain.

E-mail address: `villamayor@uam.es`